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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

October 7, 2022

TO: $\quad$ School Board Members
THROUGH: Michael J. Burke, Superintendent M
FROM: John-Anthony Boggess, Chief of Staff $\mathcal{\beta}$
Adam Miller, Ph.D., Assistant Superintendent, Performance Accountability

## SUBJECT: FY22 ADVANCED PLACEMENT (AP) AND ADVANCED INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATE OF EDUCATION (AICE) RESULTS

This memorandum provides a high-level summary of the FY22 Advanced Placement (AP) and Cambridge Advanced Certificate of Education (AICE) examination participation and performance results. These programs provide opportunities for students to experience college-level course material and examinations while still in high school. Colleges and universities may grant students who are successful on the exams, college credit, and/or use the data to place students who have demonstrated adequate college-level subject mastery in appropriate-level courses. AP and AICE test performance and participation are important indicators of college readiness and equity among schools and groups of students.

Tables 1 and 2 summarize the participation rates for the AP and AICE exams, respectively. Figures 1 and 2 provide a graphical display of the participation rates. The AP and AICE participation rates showed different trends. Overall, AP decreased to $17 \%$ overall in FY22 (-1 percentage point) from FY21 while AICE participation increased by 2 percentage points to $32 \%$ in the same period. Single-year participation declines were noted for Asian, Black and White students in AP. However, all student groups except Asian students showed increased participation in AICE. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the gaps in participation for AP and AICE, respectively. Historically, participation rates have differed between student groups in a consistent pattern. Asian and White students participated at higher rates compared to the District overall (in FY22 AP: +24 and +9 percentage points, respectively; AICE: +14 and +8 percentage points, respectively). Black ( -9 points for both AP and AICE), English Language Learner (ELL; -7 and -8 points for AP and AICE, respectively), and Exceptional Student Education (ESE; -14 and -22 points for AP and AICE, respectively) students participated at lower rates compared to the District overall.

Tables 3 and 4 summarize exam performance while Figures 5 and 6 provide graphic displays of the same. Overall, AP passing rates (scores of 3 or higher) increased by 8 percentage points from $49 \%$ to $57 \%$ in FY 22 while AICE passing rates decreased by 7 percentage points from $76 \%$ to $69 \%$ over the same period. The single-year AP performance increases were apparent for each of the student groups; in contrast, performance declines were resisted for all groups on the AICE exams. Figures 7 and 8 display the performance gaps by student group. Between group differences in performance is similar across years. Asian and White students registered higher passing rates on both AP and AICE exams relative to the District Average (in FY22 AP: +8 and +4 percentage points, respectively; AICE: +9 and +8 percentage points, respectively). Black, Hispanic, ELL, and ESE students passed at lower rates (in FY22 AP: $-20,-3,-9$ and -13 percentage points, respectively; AICE: $-18,-3,-12$, and -21 points, respectively).
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Table 1. Number of AP Test Takers and Participation Rates for District and by Individual Race/Ethnicity

|  | Number of Students Taking AP Tests |  |  |  | AP Test Participation Rates |  |  |  |  | 1-Year | 5-Year <br> Change <br> Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 |  |  |
| District | 13,189 | 13,237 | 12,191 | 11,961 | 11,758 | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |
| Asian | 813 | 925 | 923 | 879 | 850 | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $-2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Black | 1,689 | 1,723 | 1,398 | 1,507 | 1,508 | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 3,800 | 3,767 | 3,459 | 3,486 | 3,563 | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
| White | 6,280 | 6,307 | 5,897 | 5,626 | 5,408 | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $-2 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
| ELL | 1,806 | 1,947 | 1,502 | 1,609 | 1,689 | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| ESE | 306 | 317 | 257 | 315 | 313 | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Table 2. Number of AICE Test Takers and Participation Rates for District and by Individual Race/Ethnicity

|  | Number of Students Taking AICE Tests |  |  |  |  | AICE Test Participation Rates |  |  |  |  | 1-Year Change | 5-Year Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 |  |  |
| District | 17,895 | 20,468 | 20,184 | 19,451 | 21,928 | 30\% | 29\% | 31\% | 30\% | 32\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Asian | 798 | 929 | 959 | 963 | 967 | 47\% | 44\% | 46\% | 47\% | 46\% | -1\% | -1\% |
| Black | 2,896 | 3,332 | 3,366 | 3,373 | 4,162 | 18\% | 17\% | 19\% | 19\% | 23\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Hispanic | 5,508 | 6,548 | 6,562 | 6,470 | 7,581 | 30\% | 28\% | 29\% | 28\% | 31\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| White | 8,027 | 8,938 | 8,586 | 7,990 | 8,495 | 40\% | 39\% | 41\% | 39\% | 41\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| ELL | 3,187 | 3,162 | 3,181 | 3,413 | 4,118 | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 24\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| ESE | 628 | 603 | 658 | 724 | 1,047 | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 10\% | 3\% | 3\% |

Note: Participation rate for individual race/ethnicity is calculated by dividing the number of students taking a test (regardless of grade level) by the total number of grades 9 to 12 students in that particular race/ethnic group in the fourth nine weeks.
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Figure 3: AP Participation Gaps


Figure 4: AICE Participation Gaps


Table 3. Number of AP Tests Taken and Passing Rates for District and by Race/Ethnicity

|  | Number of AP Tests Taken |  |  |  |  | Percent of AP Tests Passed |  |  |  |  | 1-Year Change | 5-Year Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 |  |  |
| District | 26,926 | 27,627 | 25,135 | 24,776 | 24,790 | 57\% | 56\% | 64\% | 49\% | 57\% | 8\% | 0\% |
| Asian | 2113 | 2384 | 2370 | 2230 | 2349 | 69\% | 68\% | 72\% | 60\% | 65\% | 5\% | -4\% |
| Black | 2,681 | 2,840 | 2,213 | 2,417 | 2,465 | 35\% | 32\% | 42\% | 28\% | 37\% | 9\% | 2\% |
| Hispanic | 7,103 | 7,062 | 6,442 | 6,611 | 6,701 | 55\% | 55\% | 64\% | 46\% | 54\% | 8\% | -1\% |
| White | 13,854 | 14,235 | 12,960 | 12,545 | 12,320 | 61\% | 60\% | 67\% | 53\% | 61\% | 8\% | 0\% |
| ELL | 2,889 | 3,268 | 2,541 | 2,624 | 2,776 | 48\% | 49\% | 58\% | 39\% | 48\% | 9\% | 0\% |
| ESE | 513 | 524 | 408 | 503 | 508 | 48\% | 46\% | 60\% | 36\% | 44\% | 8\% | -3\% |

Table 4. Number of AICE Tests Taken and Passing Rates for the District and by Race/Ethnicity

|  | Number of AICE Tests Taken |  |  |  |  | Percent of AICE Tests Passed |  |  |  |  | 1-Year Change | 5-Year Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 |  |  |
| District | 35,078 | 35,665 | 36,883 | 37,773 | 40,656 | 70\% | 68\% | 80\% | 76\% | 69\% | -7\% | -1\% |
| Asian | 1,606 | 1,727 | 1,815 | 1,911 | 1,851 | 78\% | 77\% | 87\% | 82\% | 79\% | -3\% | 1\% |
| Black | 4,848 | 4,959 | 5,269 | 5,502 | 6,520 | 56\% | 53\% | 71\% | 63\% | 52\% | -11\% | -4\% |
| Hispanic | 10,606 | 11,288 | 12,110 | 12,412 | 13,714 | 68\% | 67\% | 77\% | 72\% | 66\% | -6\% | -2\% |
| White | 16,761 | 16,391 | 16,447 | 16,708 | 17,230 | 75\% | 73\% | 85\% | 81\% | 77\% | -4\% | 2\% |
| ELL | 5,414 | 5,524 | 5,317 | 5,954 | 6,973 | 59\% | 61\% | 73\% | 64\% | 57\% | -7\% | -1\% |
| ESE | 992 | 971 | 990 | 1,166 | 1,581 | 63\% | 48\% | 68\% | 56\% | 48\% | -8\% | -15\% |

Notes: (a) In the District, students who are enrolled in AICE courses are required to take the examination for that course.
(b) The numbers and percentages in the above table correspond to the recent result data.
(c) Due to COVID 19 in FY20, AP tests were given virtually and AICE scores were based on teacher rating only. This likely influenced the increase in scores.

Figure 5: AP Passing Rates
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Figure 7: AP Passing Rate Gaps
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Attachment A provides a school-by-school summary of AP participation, passing rates, and gaps by student subgroup for the FY21 and FY22 school years, Attachment B provides the same information for AICE. In addition, information on student performance by school for each test subject is available on the Department of Research \& Evaluation website at: http://I.sdpbc.net/7iypy. These results will be shared with appropriate staff members to provide direction for improvements in curriculum and instruction. Also, schools have received information about their AP and AICE exam test takers, which can be used with the information included here for the school improvement planning process.

## Next Steps and Guiding Questions:

School staff should review the AP and AICE results to determine strengths to build upon and opportunities to grow quality programs and expand access for students. While reviewing these data, schools should be mindful of the following:

1. How did your school perform on the tests compared to the District? Similar schools?
2. Were there differences in performance by tests? Why?
3. Are there adequate structures in place to offer courses identified in the report and not offered at your school? If not, how do we support the students taking those courses?
4. How do your students' course grades relate to the courses they have taken or are currently taking?
5. Which students were predicted to be successful in these courses?

For questions regarding the AP examination results, contact Paul Houchens, Director, Research \& Evaluation at 561-434-8780 or Dr. Adam Miller, Assistant Superintendent, Performance Accountability at 561-434-8851. For questions about AP programs offered in the District, please contact Taramarie Baruch, Program Planner Instruction, Secondary Education at 561-434-8255. For questions about individual school efforts and exams, please contact the appropriate regional superintendent or school principal.
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Assistant Superintendents
Academic Directors
Principals

Attachment A for Executive Summary：FY22 ADVANCED PLACEMENT RESULTS

## September 30， 2022

Advanced Placement（AP）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap Change } \\ \text { FY21 to FY22* } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | かっ |  | ぷ |  | ぷ |  | ぷ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \stackrel{.}{ज} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| DS | District | Total | 12，117 | 18．4\％ | 12，321 | 49．2\％ | 11，758 | 17．4\％ | 14，226 | 57．4\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| DS | District | Asian | 886 | 42．9\％ | 1，348 | 59．8\％ | 850 | 40．6\％ | 1，532 | 65．2\％ | ， | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| DS | District | Black | 1，520 | 8．6\％ | 689 | 28．2\％ | 1，508 | 8．3\％ | 924 | 37．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| DS | District | Hispanic | 3，565 | 15．4\％ | 3，067 | 45．7\％ | 3，563 | 14．6\％ | 3，616 | 54．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| DS | District | Native | 46 | 11．3\％ | 59 | 58．4\％ | 41 | 11．5\％ | 54 | 56．8\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| DS | District | Multi | 422 | 23．6\％ | 455 | 51．8\％ | 388 | 22．2\％ | 525 | 61．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| DS | District | White | 5，678 | 27．7\％ | 6，703 | 52．9\％ | 5，408 | 25．9\％ | 7，575 | 61．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| DS | District | Female | 6，952 | 21．8\％ | 6，783 | 47．8\％ | 6，651 | 20．2\％ | 7，781 | 56．3\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| DS | District | Male | 5，165 | 15．3\％ | 5，538 | 51．0\％ | 5，107 | 14．7\％ | 6，445 | 58．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| DS | District | ELL | 1，609 | 9．8\％ | 1，023 | 39．0\％ | 1，689 | 9．9\％ | 1，324 | 47．7\％ |  | O |  |  |
| DS | District | Non－ELL | 10，508 | 21．3\％ | 11，298 | 50．4\％ | 10，069 | 19．9\％ | 12，902 | 58．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| DS | District | ESE | 315 | 3．1\％ | 183 | 36．4\％ | 313 | 3．0\％ | 226 | 44．5\％ |  | O |  |  |
| DS | District | Non－ESE | 11，802 | 21．2\％ | 12，138 | 49．4\％ | 11，445 | 19．9\％ | 14，000 | 57．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| DS | District | FRL | 4，637 | 11．5\％ | 3，095 | 38．7\％ | 4，004 | 11．3\％ | 3，387 | 46．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| DS | District | Non－FRL | 7，480 | 29．7\％ | 9，226 | 54．1\％ | 7，754 | 24．1\％ | 10，839 | 62．0\％ | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Total | 1，075 | 78．1\％ | 1，795 | 70．0\％ | 1，068 | 77．0\％ | 1，884 | 66．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Asian | 122 | 94．6\％ | 292 | 80．7\％ | 105 | 85．4\％ | 255 | 75．7\％ | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Black | 81 | 63．8\％ | 98 | 61．3\％ | 90 | 59．6\％ | 108 | 52．9\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Hispanic | 250 | 76．0\％ | 370 | 65．0\％ | 251 | 73．8\％ | 410 | 62．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Multi | 56 | 78．9\％ | 95 | 76．0\％ | 57 | 75．0\％ | 100 | 63．3\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | White | 564 | 78．6\％ | 938 | 69．6\％ | 563 | 81．1\％ | 1，007 | 68．9\％ | O |  | O |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Female | 763 | 79．3\％ | 1，215 | 69．3\％ | 781 | 78．9\％ | 1，362 | 66．6\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Male | 312 | 75．2\％ | 580 | 71．4\％ | 287 | 72．3\％ | 522 | 66．8\％ | ） | ） |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | ELL | 63 | 73．3\％ | 84 | 60．9\％ | 74 | 79．6\％ | 107 | 62．9\％ | － | ） | O | ） |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Non－ELL | 1，012 | 78．4\％ | 1，711 | 70．5\％ | 994 | 76．8\％ | 1，777 | 66．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | ESE | 14 | 38．9\％ | 26 | 76．5\％ | 16 | 38．1\％ | 21 | 63．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rom |  | ne |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Partic | pation |  | sing | Partic | pation |  | ing | FY21 | FY22 | FY21 | Y22＊ |
| Region | School | Group |  | ぷ |  | d |  | d | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{u} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{u} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ぷ |  |  |  | － |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Non－ESE | 1，061 | 79．1\％ | 1，769 | 69．9\％ | 1，052 | 78．2\％ | 1，863 | 66．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | FRL | 236 | 67．2\％ | 314 | 62．8\％ | 216 | 69．7\％ | 302 | 57．1\％ | O |  | ， | ） |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Non－FRL | 839 | 81．8\％ | 1，481 | 71．7\％ | 852 | 79．1\％ | 1，582 | 68．9\％ | － |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Total | 756 | 23．4\％ | 1，001 | 51．9\％ | 777 | 24．1\％ | 1，227 | 62．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Asian | 29 | 34．9\％ | 30 | 38．5\％ | 33 | 45．2\％ | 51 | 61．4\％ | ） |  | ， | ） |
| N | Jupiter High | Black | 19 | 16．1\％ | 13 | 36．1\％ | 19 | 16．8\％ | 28 | 54．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Hispanic | 136 | 14．2\％ | 174 | 47．7\％ | 142 | 14．3\％ | 203 | 57．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Multi | 27 | 26．2\％ | 23 | 43．4\％ | 25 | 26．9\％ | 49 | 70．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | White | 543 | 27．8\％ | 751 | 54．1\％ | 558 | 28．6\％ | 896 | 63．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Female | 442 | 28．0\％ | 620 | 53．4\％ | 463 | 28．8\％ | 776 | 61．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Male | 314 | 19．0\％ | 381 | 49．5\％ | 314 | 19．5\％ | 451 | 63．2\％ |  | O |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | ELL | 31 | 5．7\％ | 21 | 30．0\％ | 44 | 7．7\％ | 39 | 42．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  | ） |
| N | Jupiter High | Non－ELL | 725 | 27．1\％ | 980 | 52．7\％ | 733 | 27．6\％ | 1，188 | 63．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | ESE | 20 | 5．4\％ | 14 | 35．0\％ | 17 | 4．5\％ | 13 | 46．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Non－ESE | 736 | 25．8\％ | 987 | 52．2\％ | 760 | 26．7\％ | 1，214 | 62．3\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | FRL | 141 | 11．4\％ | 148 | 43．5\％ | 129 | 12．5\％ | 155 | 55．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Jupiter High | Non－FRL | 615 | 30．9\％ | 853 | 53．6\％ | 648 | 29．5\％ | 1，072 | 63．2\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Total | 405 | 14．7\％ | 236 | 30．3\％ | 343 | 12．1\％ | 230 | 40．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Asian | 39 | 38．2\％ | 33 | 35．9\％ | 43 | 39．4\％ | 37 | 44．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Black | 111 | 8．1\％ | 27 | 14．1\％ | 103 | 7．3\％ | 40 | 27．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Hispanic | 101 | 17．3\％ | 44 | 28．4\％ | 83 | 12．2\％ | 47 | 37．3\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Multi | 15 | 17．0\％ | 5 | 16．7\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | White | 135 | 23．0\％ | 124 | 41．2\％ | 105 | 19．0\％ | 98 | 50．5\％ | O | ） |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Female | 236 | 17．4\％ | 126 | 27．3\％ | 190 | 13．5\％ | 110 | 35．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Male | 169 | 12．1\％ | 110 | 34．8\％ | 153 | 10．6\％ | 120 | 46．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | ELL | 82 | 12．4\％ | 37 | 26．4\％ | 70 | 10．3\％ | 42 | 37．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Non－ELL | 323 | 15．4\％ | 199 | 31．2\％ | 273 | 12．6\％ | 188 | 41．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | ESE | 13 | 2．8\％ | 6 | 28．6\％ | 19 | 3．9\％ | 11 | 40．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Non－ESE | 392 | 17．2\％ | 230 | 30．4\％ | 324 | 13．7\％ | 219 | 40．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
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|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22＊ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | か〇 | $$ | むீ |  | かっ |  | む゚ |  |  |  | － $\stackrel{0}{\omega}$ 0 0 |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | FRL | 240 | 11．4\％ | 110 | 25．9\％ | 177 | 9．3\％ | 102 | 37．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Gardens High | Non－FRL | 165 | 25．3\％ | 126 | 35．6\％ | 166 | 17．7\％ | 128 | 43．4\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Total | 70 | 2．4\％ | 5 | 4．7\％ | 55 | 2．0\％ | 16 | 17．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Black | 40 | 2．2\％ | 2 | 2．9\％ | 23 | 1．3\％ | 3 | 7．1\％ |  | O |  | ） |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Hispanic | 26 | 3．5\％ | 3 | 9．7\％ | 22 | 2．6\％ | 9 | 27．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Female | 53 | 3．9\％ | 4 | 5．0\％ | 31 | 2．3\％ | 10 | 18．5\％ |  | O |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Male | 17 | 1．1\％ | 1 | 3．8\％ | 24 | 1．7\％ | 6 | 16．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | ELL | 22 | 2．3\％ | 3 | 11．1\％ | 20 | 2．0\％ | 10 | 33．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Non－ELL | 48 | 2．5\％ | 2 | 2．5\％ | 35 | 1．9\％ | 6 | 9．8\％ |  | O |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Non－ESE | 68 | 2．9\％ | 5 | 4．8\％ | 54 | 2．4\％ | 16 | 17．8\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | FRL | 60 | 2．3\％ | 5 | 5．7\％ | 41 | 1．9\％ | 12 | 17．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Non－FRL | 10 | 3．3\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ | 14 | 2．2\％ | 4 | 18．2\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Total | 202 | 9．0\％ | 128 | 42．4\％ | 198 | 8．5\％ | 139 | 45．1\％ |  | O |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Asian | 18 | 26．1\％ | 25 | 64．1\％ | 13 | 17．8\％ | 10 | 47．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Black | 18 | 6．6\％ | 11 | 42．3\％ | 26 | 8．4\％ | 10 | 30．3\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Hispanic | 59 | 7．9\％ | 30 | 35．7\％ | 52 | 6．9\％ | 39 | 46．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | White | 98 | 9．2\％ | 60 | 42．6\％ | 103 | 9．5\％ | 76 | 46．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Female | 87 | 8．3\％ | 51 | 38．1\％ | 107 | 9．6\％ | 70 | 44．0\％ |  | O |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Male | 115 | 9．6\％ | 77 | 45．8\％ | 91 | 7．5\％ | 69 | 46．3\％ | ， |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | ELL | 10 | 5．2\％ | 8 | 50．0\％ | 14 | 6．0\％ | 7 | 41．2\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Non－ELL | 192 | 9．4\％ | 120 | 42．0\％ | 184 | 8．8\％ | 132 | 45．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Non－ESE | 198 | 10．6\％ | 126 | 42．3\％ | 193 | 10．0\％ | 138 | 45．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | FRL | 67 | 5．9\％ | 38 | 37．6\％ | 62 | 6．5\％ | 39 | 38．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Non－FRL | 135 | 12．1\％ | 90 | 44．8\％ | 136 | 10．0\％ | 100 | 48．3\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  |  |
| N | Suncoast High | Total | 1，127 | 71．6\％ | 1，592 | 57．0\％ | 1，202 | 76．6\％ | 2，216 | 65．8\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Suncoast High | Asian | 187 | 80．3\％ | 355 | 67．6\％ | 207 | 86．6\％ | 509 | 70．8\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Suncoast High | Black | 235 | 64．4\％ | 142 | 30．4\％ | 261 | 71．9\％ | 265 | 48．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |
| N | Suncoast High | Hispanic | 250 | 68．1\％ | 315 | 53．2\％ | 264 | 74．6\％ | 441 | 61．2\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Suncoast High | Multi | 55 | 66．3\％ | 98 | 64．9\％ | 60 | 76．9\％ | 110 | 67．9\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ． | O | O |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rom |  | nge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Partic | pation |  | sing | Partic | pation |  | ing | FY21 | FY22 | FY21 | 22＊ |
| Region | School | Group |  | か〇 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \tilde{山} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | かっ |  | か〇 |  | か〇 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \stackrel{=}{\tilde{n}} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | － － ¢ 0 |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Black | 47 | 7．7\％ | 19 | 21．6\％ | 58 | 9．3\％ | 25 | 22．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Hispanic | 143 | 11．8\％ | 94 | 34．7\％ | 165 | 13．3\％ | 89 | 29．1\％ |  | O |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Multi | 10 | 12．8\％ | 7 | 35．0\％ | 17 | 23．9\％ | 14 | 53．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | O |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | White | 152 | 14．9\％ | 107 | 30．8\％ | 171 | 18．1\％ | 113 | 32．8\％ | ） |  |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Female | 179 | 12．6\％ | 107 | 28．5\％ | 188 | 13．5\％ | 106 | 28．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Male | 206 | 12．6\％ | 160 | 38．6\％ | 264 | 16．0\％ | 179 | 35．4\％ | － | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | ELL | 44 | 8．2\％ | 25 | 34．7\％ | 58 | 10．4\％ | 35 | 36．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Non－ELL | 341 | 13．5\％ | 242 | 33．7\％ | 394 | 15．9\％ | 250 | 32．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Non－ESE | 376 | 14．3\％ | 267 | 34．3\％ | 435 | 16．7\％ | 274 | 32．1\％ | O | ） |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | FRL | 153 | 8．9\％ | 82 | 29．1\％ | 157 | 11．1\％ | 73 | 23．4\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Non－FRL | 232 | 17．2\％ | 185 | 36．3\％ | 295 | 18．2\％ | 212 | 37．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Total | 277 | 11．0\％ | 85 | 26．2\％ | 103 | 4．1\％ | 52 | 40．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Asian | 18 | 24．7\％ | 13 | 50．0\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Black | 107 | 10．1\％ | 22 | 18．2\％ | 32 | 3．0\％ | 7 | 19．4\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Hispanic | 84 | 9．4\％ | 28 | 29．5\％ | 36 | 3．9\％ | 25 | 54．3\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Multi | 12 | 12．8\％ | 4 | 26．7\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | White | 55 | 14．0\％ | 18 | 26．9\％ | 22 | 5．7\％ | 7 | 29．2\％ | O |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Female | 168 | 13．8\％ | 51 | 26．0\％ | 53 | 4．2\％ | 26 | 37．1\％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Male | 109 | 8．4\％ | 34 | 26．4\％ | 50 | 3．9\％ | 26 | 44．8\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | ELL | 37 | 6．8\％ | 11 | 25．0\％ | 21 | 3．9\％ | 16 | 66．7\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Non－ELL | 240 | 12．2\％ | 74 | 26．3\％ | 82 | 4．1\％ | 36 | 34．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | ESE | 11 | 2．6\％ | 2 | 18．2\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Non－ESE | 266 | 12．7\％ | 83 | 26．4\％ | 96 | 4．6\％ | 51 | 42．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | FRL | 180 | 9．3\％ | 49 | 24．3\％ | 62 | 3．6\％ | 32 | 42．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Non－FRL | 97 | 16．4\％ | 36 | 29．3\％ | 41 | 5．0\％ | 20 | 37．7\％ |  |  | O |  |
| C | Wellington High | Total | 417 | 15．7\％ | 359 | 40．1\％ | 438 | 15．8\％ | 509 | 62．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Asian | 20 | 25．6\％ | 26 | 53．1\％ | 25 | 32．1\％ | 36 | 60．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O |
| C | Wellington High | Black | 40 | 12．3\％ | 21 | 29．2\％ | 27 | 7．6\％ | 19 | 47．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Wellington High | Hispanic | 133 | 14．0\％ | 104 | 37．0\％ | 152 | 14．6\％ | 150 | 61．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rom |  | nge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Partic | pation |  | sing | Partic | pation |  | ing | FY21 | FY22 | FY21 | Y22＊ |
| Region | School | Group |  | ぷ |  | むొ |  | ぷ |  | ふొ |  |  |  | － |
| C | Wellington High | Multi | 14 | 15．9\％ | 8 | 29．6\％ | 12 | 11．8\％ | 18 | 62．1\％ |  |  | O | ） |
| C | Wellington High | White | 210 | 17．5\％ | 200 | 42．8\％ | 221 | 18．8\％ | 284 | 65．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Female | 245 | 18．3\％ | 193 | 37．0\％ | 249 | 17．7\％ | 298 | 63．3\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| C | Wellington High | Male | 172 | 13．1\％ | 166 | 44．4\％ | 189 | 13．9\％ | 211 | 61．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | ELL | 44 | 13．2\％ | 23 | 31．5\％ | 58 | 15．8\％ | 60 | 69．0\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| C | Wellington High | Non－ELL | 373 | 16．1\％ | 336 | 40．8\％ | 380 | 15．9\％ | 449 | 61．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Non－ESE | 410 | 17．9\％ | 356 | 40．4\％ | 427 | 17．8\％ | 500 | 62．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | FRL | 147 | 12．3\％ | 118 | 41．8\％ | 131 | 12．5\％ | 152 | 64．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Non－FRL | 270 | 18．5\％ | 241 | 39．3\％ | 307 | 17．9\％ | 357 | 61．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Total | 466 | 21．3\％ | 383 | 44．7\％ | 442 | 21．2\％ | 478 | 52．1\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Asian | 68 | 56．2\％ | 95 | 56．9\％ | 66 | 57．9\％ | 111 | 57．2\％ |  |  |  | O |
| S | Atlantic High | Black | 173 | 12．7\％ | 73 | 27．5\％ | 149 | 11．5\％ | 86 | 35．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Hispanic | 89 | 25．9\％ | 83 | 47．4\％ | 80 | 23．3\％ | 96 | 60．0\％ | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Atlantic High | Multi | 19 | 33．9\％ | 21 | 52．5\％ | 19 | 37．3\％ | 28 | 71．8\％ | O |  | ） |  |
| S | Atlantic High | White | 117 | 38．2\％ | 111 | 52．9\％ | 126 | 45．7\％ | 155 | 56．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Atlantic High | Female | 275 | 23．8\％ | 207 | 43．3\％ | 259 | 24．1\％ | 239 | 49．1\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Male | 191 | 18．5\％ | 176 | 46．4\％ | 183 | 18．1\％ | 239 | 55．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | ELL | 85 | 12．8\％ | 56 | 35．9\％ | 71 | 11．8\％ | 58 | 40．8\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| S | Atlantic High | Non－ELL | 381 | 25．0\％ | 327 | 46．6\％ | 371 | 25．0\％ | 420 | 54．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Non－ESE | 462 | 23．9\％ | 381 | 44．8\％ | 436 | 24．0\％ | 475 | 52．3\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | FRL | 251 | 15．0\％ | 147 | 35．0\％ | 196 | 14．2\％ | 156 | 43．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Non－FRL | 215 | 41．4\％ | 236 | 54．0\％ | 246 | 34．9\％ | 322 | 57．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | O | O |
| S | Boca Raton High | Total | 1，418 | 42．7\％ | 1，964 | 53．4\％ | 1，348 | 40．9\％ | 2，043 | 60．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Asian | 64 | 51．6\％ | 107 | 54．6\％ | 55 | 53．9\％ | 121 | 66．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － |
| S | Boca Raton High | Black | 62 | 20．6\％ | 44 | 34．9\％ | 63 | 19．2\％ | 55 | 45．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Hispanic | 383 | 37．7\％ | 550 | 55．3\％ | 380 | 35．4\％ | 560 | 60．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Multi | 40 | 44．9\％ | 55 | 49．5\％ | 35 | 38．5\％ | 42 | 42．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| S | Boca Raton High | White | 861 | 48．7\％ | 1，198 | 53．7\％ | 807 | 47．9\％ | 1，253 | 61．2\％ |  | （ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Female | 828 | 50．1\％ | 1，146 | 52．6\％ | 776 | 47．6\％ | 1，185 | 60．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
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|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change <br> FY21 to FY22＊ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | む〇 |  | か〇 |  | む〇 |  | む゚ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\hat{u}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Male | 590 | 35．5\％ | 818 | 54．6\％ | 572 | 34．3\％ | 858 | 59．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | ELL | 107 | 21．7\％ | 130 | 46．3\％ | 102 | 19．4\％ | 132 | 56．9\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| S | Boca Raton High | Non－ELL | 1，311 | 46．4\％ | 1，834 | 54．0\％ | 1，246 | 45．0\％ | 1，911 | 60．5\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | ESE | 43 | 11．1\％ | 27 | 34．2\％ | 46 | 11．6\％ | 46 | 51．1\％ |  |  | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Boca Raton High | Non－ESE | 1，375 | 47．0\％ | 1，937 | 53．8\％ | 1，302 | 44．9\％ | 1，997 | 60．5\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | FRL | 427 | 30．3\％ | 467 | 49．3\％ | 365 | 29．6\％ | 477 | 52．0\％ |  | O |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Boca Raton High | Non－FRL | 991 | 52．0\％ | 1，497 | 54．8\％ | 983 | 47．7\％ | 1，566 | 63．2\％ |  |  | O |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Total | 21 | 1．3\％ | 3 | 13．6\％ | 10 | 0．6\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Black | 15 | 1．4\％ | 2 | 13．3\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Female | 14 | 1．9\％ | 2 | 13．3\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Non－ELL | 17 | 1．7\％ | 2 | 11．1\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Non－ESE | 20 | 1．5\％ | 3 | 14．3\％ | 10 | 0．7\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | FRL | 15 | 1．1\％ | 1 | 6．7\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Total | 637 | 26．5\％ | 576 | 49．1\％ | 723 | 27．4\％ | 772 | 57．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Asian | 26 | 28．6\％ | 28 | 49．1\％ | 35 | 38．0\％ | 46 | 69．7\％ |  |  | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Black | 34 | 13．7\％ | 18 | 35．3\％ | 39 | 15．4\％ | 24 | 38．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Hispanic | 187 | 21．7\％ | 139 | 43．2\％ | 198 | 22．2\％ | 184 | 57．1\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Multi | 12 | 21．1\％ | 13 | 50．0\％ | 22 | 34．4\％ | 16 | 51．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | White | 375 | 33．0\％ | 372 | 52．4\％ | 426 | 32．2\％ | 488 | 57．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Female | 322 | 29．8\％ | 279 | 44．7\％ | 339 | 28．3\％ | 364 | 56．9\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | O | ） |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Male | 315 | 23．8\％ | 297 | 54．0\％ | 384 | 26．7\％ | 408 | 57．5\％ |  | O | O | ） |
| S | Olympic Heights High | ELL | 62 | 14．4\％ | 40 | 38．1\％ | 68 | 14．4\％ | 55 | 56．7\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Non－ELL | 575 | 29．1\％ | 536 | 50．1\％ | 655 | 30．3\％ | 717 | 57．2\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | ESE | 18 | 5．6\％ | 8 | 29．6\％ | 23 | 7．0\％ | 19 | 40．4\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Non－ESE | 619 | 29．6\％ | 568 | 49．5\％ | 700 | 30．3\％ | 753 | 57．8\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | FRL | 176 | 16．2\％ | 142 | 47．0\％ | 194 | 20．3\％ | 196 | 55．7\％ | O | － | ） |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Non－FRL | 461 | 34．9\％ | 434 | 49．8\％ | 529 | 31．5\％ | 576 | 57．7\％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Total | 747 | 23．4\％ | 805 | 47．1\％ | 682 | 20．9\％ | 835 | 57．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Asian | 47 | 35．3\％ | 59 | 46．1\％ | 43 | 27．9\％ | 63 | 53．8\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O |

Advanced Placement (AP) Participation and Performance -- School by Subgroup Summary


Advanced Placement（AP）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22＊ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | か〇 |  | かっ |  | ぷ |  | ぷ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{.}{\hat{N}} \\ & \tilde{i} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Total | 1，071 | 42．0\％ | 1，375 | 53．6\％ | 908 | 34．7\％ | 1，443 | 68．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Asian | 54 | 48．2\％ | 116 | 63．0\％ | 46 | 42．2\％ | 104 | 74．3\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Spanish River High | Black | 34 | 20．4\％ | 28 | 43．1\％ | 33 | 17．9\％ | 28 | 52．8\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Hispanic | 281 | 39．1\％ | 313 | 51．1\％ | 220 | 29．4\％ | 307 | 66．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Multi | 32 | 43．2\％ | 30 | 47．6\％ | 30 | 41．7\％ | 43 | 76．8\％ |  |  | ） | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Spanish River High | White | 667 | 45．4\％ | 884 | 54．1\％ | 575 | 38．6\％ | 954 | 68．6\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Female | 582 | 45．5\％ | 704 | 50．3\％ | 511 | 38．8\％ | 743 | 64．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Male | 489 | 38．5\％ | 671 | 57．5\％ | 397 | 30．6\％ | 700 | 72．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | ELL | 97 | 31．3\％ | 82 | 47．1\％ | 74 | 21．8\％ | 94 | 66．2\％ | ， |  |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Non－ELL | 974 | 43．5\％ | 1，293 | 54．1\％ | 834 | 36．7\％ | 1，349 | 68．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | ESE | 26 | 9．8\％ | 21 | 39．6\％ | 20 | 7．7\％ | 23 | 62．2\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Spanish River High | Non－ESE | 1，045 | 45．7\％ | 1，354 | 53．9\％ | 888 | 37．7\％ | 1，420 | 68．6\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | FRL | 275 | 31．6\％ | 291 | 50．7\％ | 202 | 26．4\％ | 293 | 63．8\％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Non－FRL | 796 | 47．4\％ | 1，084 | 54．4\％ | 706 | 38．2\％ | 1，150 | 69．7\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Total | 727 | 30．3\％ | 821 | 50．4\％ | 614 | 25．1\％ | 871 | 64．2\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Asian | 56 | 60．2\％ | 75 | 56．8\％ | 35 | 34．7\％ | 68 | 78．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Black | 42 | 23．7\％ | 39 | 47．0\％ | 33 | 19．8\％ | 39 | 61．9\％ | ， |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Hispanic | 241 | 26．6\％ | 278 | 49．2\％ | 230 | 23．9\％ | 333 | 65．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Multi | 26 | 39．4\％ | 27 | 50．9\％ | 16 | 28．6\％ | 16 | 48．5\％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | ） |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | White | 355 | 31．3\％ | 391 | 50．2\％ | 297 | 26．0\％ | 414 | 62．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Female | 456 | 34．6\％ | 513 | 49．8\％ | 403 | 29．3\％ | 555 | 62．4\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Male | 271 | 25．1\％ | 308 | 51．6\％ | 211 | 19．8\％ | 316 | 67．7\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | ELL | 86 | 20．4\％ | 80 | 44．7\％ | 95 | 20．4\％ | 104 | 54．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Non－ELL | 641 | 32．5\％ | 741 | 51．1\％ | 519 | 26．2\％ | 767 | 65．8\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | ESE | 15 | 7．1\％ | 8 | 40．0\％ | 10 | 4．2\％ | 11 | 57．9\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | ） | ） |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Non－ESE | 712 | 32．6\％ | 813 | 50．6\％ | 604 | 27．4\％ | 860 | 64．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | FRL | 225 | 22．4\％ | 202 | 42．6\％ | 161 | 19．1\％ | 184 | 56．8\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Non－FRL | 502 | 36．1\％ | 619 | 53．6\％ | 453 | 28．3\％ | 687 | 66．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |

Advanced Placement（AP）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap Change } \\ \text { FY21 to FY22* } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | ぷ |  | ぷ |  | ぷ | シ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  | dㅇ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { م } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\widehat{n}} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | － |
| G | Glades Central High | Total | 50 | 4．9\％ | 12 | 17．1\％ | 47 | 4．8\％ | 7 | 13．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Black | 28 | 4．3\％ | 3 | 7．5\％ | 25 | 4．1\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| G | Glades Central High | Hispanic | 20 | 6．0\％ | 8 | 28．6\％ | 20 | 6．0\％ | 7 | 28．0\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| G | Glades Central High | Female | 31 | 6．0\％ | 10 | 23．8\％ | 32 | 6．0\％ | 6 | 15．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Male | 19 | 3．8\％ | 2 | 7．1\％ | 15 | 3．3\％ | 1 | 6．3\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| G | Glades Central High | ELL | 19 | 4．9\％ | 9 | 32．1\％ | 22 | 6．2\％ | 2 | 7．4\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Non－ELL | 31 | 5．0\％ | 3 | 7．1\％ | 25 | 4．0\％ | 5 | 18．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Non－ESE | 46 | 5．7\％ | 12 | 18．2\％ | 45 | 5．7\％ | 7 | 13．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | FRL | 47 | 4．8\％ | 12 | 17．9\％ | 47 | 5．4\％ | 7 | 13．0\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Hispanic | 28 | 16．4\％ | 16 | 57．1\％ | 20 | 12．8\％ | 14 | 70．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Male | 17 | 7．8\％ | 7 | 41．2\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Male | 17 | 7．8\％ | 7 | 41．2\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | ELL | 18 | 15．1\％ | 11 | 61．1\％ | 11 | 9．9\％ | 8 | 72．7\％ | － | O |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | ELL | 18 | 15．1\％ | 11 | 61．1\％ | 11 | 9．9\％ | 8 | 72．7\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | FRL | 39 | 9．2\％ | 18 | 46．2\％ | 30 | 7．8\％ | 14 | 46．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | Total | 102 | 12．9\％ | 26 | 19．5\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | Black | 12 | 9．7\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ） |  |
| CH | G－Star | Hispanic | 40 | 12．9\％ | 11 | 21．6\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | White | 44 | 14．0\％ | 14 | 23．7\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | Female | 66 | 14．7\％ | 17 | 20．0\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | Male | 36 | 10．6\％ | 9 | 18．8\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | ELL | 10 | 8．2\％ | 1 | 6．7\％ |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | ） |
| CH | G－Star | Non－ELL | 92 | 13．8\％ | 25 | 21．2\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | Non－ESE | 100 | 14．1\％ | 26 | 20．0\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | FRL | 54 | 12．1\％ | 11 | 16．2\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | G－Star | Non－FRL | 48 | 14．0\％ | 15 | 23．1\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Inlet Grove High | Total | 26 | 3．1\％ | 8 | 30．8\％ | 81 | 10．0\％ | 46 | 50．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Inlet Grove High | Black | 16 | 2．9\％ | 3 | 18．8\％ | 49 | 8．8\％ | 26 | 46．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| CH | Inlet Grove High | Female | 17 | 3．3\％ | 5 | 29．4\％ | 57 | 11．6\％ | 31 | 47．7\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |

Advanced Placement（AP）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap Change } \\ \text { FY21 to FY22* } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | か〇 | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \tilde{u} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\imath} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | かっ |  | かっ | $$ | ぷ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Inlet Grove High | Non－ELL | 20 | 3．5\％ | 6 | 30．0\％ | 52 | 9．1\％ | 27 | 45．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| CH | Inlet Grove High | Non－ESE | 26 | 3．4\％ | 8 | 30．8\％ | 80 | 10．8\％ | 45 | 50．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| CH | Inlet Grove High | FRL | 24 | 3．2\％ | 7 | 29．2\％ | 62 | 9．5\％ | 34 | 50．0\％ | － | ） |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Total | 83 | 39．9\％ | 8 | 6．7\％ | 46 | 20．9\％ | 5 | 7．7\％ | ） |  |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Black | 11 | 23．4\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ | 11 | 22．0\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Hispanic | 36 | 44．4\％ | 4 | 8．2\％ | 16 | 17．6\％ | 2 | 11．8\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | White | 33 | 47．1\％ | 3 | 6．3\％ | 18 | 27．3\％ | 3 | 9．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Female | 40 | 51．3\％ | 3 | 5．3\％ | 22 | 25．6\％ | 2 | 5．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Male | 43 | 33．1\％ | 5 | 8．1\％ | 24 | 17．9\％ | 3 | 10．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | ELL | 15 | 26．8\％ | 1 | 5．3\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Non－ELL | 68 | 44．7\％ | 7 | 7．0\％ | 37 | 22．0\％ | 5 | 9．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | ESE | 13 | 41．9\％ | 0 | 0．0\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Non－ESE | 70 | 39．5\％ | 8 | 7．8\％ | 43 | 23．5\％ | 5 | 8．1\％ | － |  | O |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | FRL | 60 | 35．5\％ | 6 | 7．2\％ | 33 | 19．4\％ | 2 | 4．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | PB Maritime Acad | Non－FRL | 23 | 59．0\％ | 2 | 5．6\％ | 13 | 26．0\％ | 3 | 15．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| CH | SLAM Boca | Total | 23 | 8．6\％ | 5 | 20．8\％ | 57 | 13．0\％ | 17 | 20．5\％ | ） |  |  |  |
| CH | SLAM Boca | Hispanic | 17 | 11．7\％ | 2 | 11．8\％ | 40 | 15．6\％ | 15 | 23．8\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| CH | SLAM Boca | Female | 17 | 12．8\％ | 5 | 27．8\％ | 33 | 15．3\％ | 7 | 14．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| CH | SLAM Boca | Non－ELL | 20 | 9．5\％ | 5 | 23．8\％ | 47 | 13．8\％ | 14 | 19．7\％ |  | ） |  | ） |
| CH | SLAM Boca | Non－ESE | 22 | 8．8\％ | 5 | 21．7\％ | 56 | 13．9\％ | 17 | 20．7\％ | ） |  |  |  |
| CH | SLAM Boca | FRL | 10 | 7．3\％ | 1 | 10．0\％ | 27 | 16．2\％ | 6 | 16．7\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| CH | SLAM Boca | Non－FRL | 13 | 10．0\％ | 4 | 28．6\％ | 30 | 11．1\％ | 11 | 23．4\％ |  | O |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Total | 184 | 18．4\％ | 90 | 36．1\％ | 165 | 15．2\％ | 125 | 49．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Asian | 13 | 38．2\％ | 3 | 20．0\％ | 11 | 30．6\％ | 6 | 42．9\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | － |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Black | 28 | 11．1\％ | 11 | 25．6\％ | 27 | 9．4\％ | 12 | 33．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Hispanic | 46 | 17．0\％ | 20 | 33．9\％ | 45 | 15．6\％ | 38 | 55．1\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | White | 88 | 21．5\％ | 53 | 44．2\％ | 72 | 16．8\％ | 61 | 51．7\％ | O | O |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Female | 108 | 23．5\％ | 60 | 39．0\％ | 81 | 15．9\％ | 59 | 48．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | P |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Male | 76 | 14．0\％ | 30 | 31．6\％ | 84 | 14．5\％ | 66 | 51．2\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

Advanced Placement（AP）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22＊ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | か〇 |  | か〇 |  | か〇 |  | か〇 |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | ELL | 13 | 10．3\％ | 4 | 26．7\％ | 14 | 8．9\％ | 8 | 44．4\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Non－ELL | 171 | 19．5\％ | 86 | 36．8\％ | 151 | 16．2\％ | 117 | 50．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Non－ESE | 182 | 19．3\％ | 89 | 36．0\％ | 163 | 16．1\％ | 125 | 50．2\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | FRL | 74 | 15．4\％ | 29 | 29．9\％ | 53 | 11．1\％ | 37 | 46．3\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Non－FRL | 110 | 21．1\％ | 61 | 40．1\％ | 112 | 18．4\％ | 88 | 51．5\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Total | 211 | 16．8\％ | 63 | 27．9\％ | 193 | 16．2\％ | 92 | 36．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Black | 85 | 17．1\％ | 20 | 22．2\％ | 74 | 15．6\％ | 22 | 23．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Hispanic | 96 | 16．2\％ | 36 | 34．3\％ | 91 | 16．4\％ | 57 | 46．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | White | 21 | 16．8\％ | 6 | 27．3\％ | 19 | 15．3\％ | 8 | 32．0\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Female | 127 | 19．2\％ | 36 | 26．3\％ | 130 | 20．5\％ | 57 | 33．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Male | 84 | 14．2\％ | 27 | 30．3\％ | 63 | 11．3\％ | 35 | 41．7\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| CH | South Tech Academy | ELL | 79 | 14．4\％ | 30 | 35．3\％ | 83 | 17．0\％ | 41 | 38．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Non－ELL | 132 | 18．7\％ | 33 | 23．4\％ | 110 | 15．6\％ | 51 | 34．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Non－ESE | 202 | 20．2\％ | 62 | 28．6\％ | 190 | 19．9\％ | 92 | 36．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | FRL | 179 | 16．3\％ | 56 | 29．2\％ | 142 | 15．5\％ | 59 | 32．4\％ |  | O |  |  |
| CH | South Tech Academy | Non－FRL | 32 | 20．9\％ | 7 | 20．6\％ | 51 | 18．5\％ | 33 | 45．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |

Note：Schools with fewer than 10 students tested or results for only one year were not included in this table．
＊Gaps are in reference to the Total group．
＊＊Groups with fewer then 10 participants are suppressed．
2 or more points increase of participation，passing rates，or decrease gap．
2 or more points decrease of participation，passing rates，or increase gap．
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Advanced Certificate of Education (AICE) Participation and Performance -- School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | ¢ㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\ddot{u}} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ¢ |  | ๙ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | むొ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & . \stackrel{y}{y} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\overline{0}}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & . \frac{2}{U} \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{0}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| DS | District | Total * | 19,518 | 29.7\% | 28,655 | 75.6\% | 21,928 | 32.4\% | 28,145 | 69.2\% |  | O |  |  |
| DS | District | Asian | 963 | 46.6\% | 1,576 | 82.5\% | 967 | 46.2\% | 1,457 | 78.7\% |  | ) |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| DS | District | Black | 3,374 | 19.0\% | 3,451 | 62.7\% | 4,162 | 22.9\% | 3,361 | 51.5\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | , |
| DS | District | Hispanic | 6,531 | 28.2\% | 9,078 | 72.5\% | 7,581 | 31.0\% | 9,070 | 66.1\% |  | P |  |  |
| DS | District | Native | 81 | 20.0\% | 123 | 79.9\% | 101 | 28.3\% | 127 | 65.1\% | O |  | ) |  |
| DS | District | Multi | 575 | 32.2\% | 862 | 79.3\% | 622 | 35.5\% | 878 | 76.6\% | $\bigcirc$ | ) |  |  |
| DS | District | White | 7,994 | 39.0\% | 13,565 | 81.2\% | 8,495 | 40.7\% | 13,252 | 76.9\% |  |  |  | ) |
| DS | District | Female | 11,097 | 34.8\% | 16,789 | 76.9\% | 12,273 | 37.2\% | 16,387 | 70.7\% |  | O |  |  |
| DS | District | Male | 8,421 | 24.9\% | 11,866 | 73.9\% | 9,655 | 27.8\% | 11,758 | 67.2\% |  |  |  |  |
| DS | District | ELL | 3,413 | 20.7\% | 3,828 | 64.3\% | 4,118 | 24.2\% | 4,008 | 57.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| DS | District | Non-ELL | 16,105 | 32.7\% | 24,827 | 77.7\% | 17,810 | 35.1\% | 24,137 | 71.7\% | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |  |
| DS | District | ESE | 724 | 7.2\% | 651 | 55.8\% | 1,047 | 10.1\% | 757 | 47.9\% |  | ) |  |  |
| DS | District | Non-ESE | 18,794 | 33.8\% | 28,004 | 76.3\% | 20,881 | 36.4\% | 27,388 | 70.1\% | O | O |  |  |
| DS | District | FRL | 9,406 | 23.2\% | 11,616 | 67.9\% | 9,326 | 26.2\% | 9,255 | 58.8\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ) |
| DS | District | Non-FRL | 10,112 | 40.1\% | 17,039 | 81.9\% | 12,602 | 39.2\% | 18,890 | 75.8\% |  | O | ) |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Total * | 635 | 46.1\% | 650 | 94.8\% | 557 | 40.2\% | 546 | 95.5\% | O |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Asian | 65 | 50.4\% | 66 | 98.5\% | 55 | 44.7\% | 54 | 98.2\% | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Black | 56 | 44.1\% | 60 | 96.8\% | 56 | 37.1\% | 55 | 96.5\% | O |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Hispanic | 143 | 43.5\% | 142 | 90.4\% | 134 | 39.4\% | 129 | 92.8\% | O | O |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Multi | 43 | 60.6\% | 45 | 100.0\% | 34 | 44.7\% | 34 | 97.1\% | O | $\bigcirc$ | ) | ) |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | White | 328 | 45.7\% | 337 | 94.9\% | 278 | 40.1\% | 274 | 95.8\% | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Female | 468 | 48.6\% | 485 | 95.3\% | 410 | 41.4\% | 404 | 95.5\% | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Male | 167 | 40.2\% | 165 | 93.2\% | 147 | 37.0\% | 142 | 95.3\% | O |  | ) |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | ELL | 38 | 44.2\% | 35 | 85.4\% | 33 | 35.5\% | 31 | 93.9\% | ) | ) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | Non-ELL | 597 | 46.2\% | 615 | 95.3\% | 524 | 40.5\% | 515 | 95.5\% | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| N | AW Dreyfoos School of Arts | ESE | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |  |  |  |
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Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | む〇 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { di} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | むొ |  | む〇 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | む〇 |  |  |  |  |
| N | Suncoast High | ESE | 15 | 42．9\％ | 9 | 60．0\％ | 17 | 50．0\％ | 7 | 41．2\％ | O | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | Suncoast High | Non－ESE | 650 | 42．2\％ | 571 | 87．8\％ | 598 | 39．0\％ | 504 | 84．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Suncoast High | FRL | 274 | 44．4\％ | 220 | 80．3\％ | 186 | 37．0\％ | 131 | 69．7\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  | ） |
| N | Suncoast High | Non－FRL | 391 | 40．8\％ | 360 | 92．1\％ | 429 | 40．2\％ | 380 | 88．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Total＊ | 901 | 34．9\％ | 865 | 57．2\％ | 997 | 39．2\％ | 881 | 55．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Asian | 15 | 39．5\％ | 20 | 69．0\％ | 11 | 32．4\％ | 12 | 57．1\％ | ） |  |  | ） |
| C | Forest Hill High | Black | 82 | 25．6\％ | 62 | 50．0\％ | 86 | 28．4\％ | 48 | 40．3\％ | ） | ， |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Forest Hill High | Hispanic | 644 | 35．8\％ | 608 | 56．6\％ | 743 | 40．2\％ | 654 | 55．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Multi | 13 | 35．1\％ | 9 | 56．3\％ | 15 | 45．5\％ | 19 | 79．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） | ） |
| C | Forest Hill High | White | 141 | 37．8\％ | 161 | 61．5\％ | 136 | 43．0\％ | 146 | 62．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Female | 512 | 41．3\％ | 522 | 58．6\％ | 555 | 45．1\％ | 546 | 59．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Male | 389 | 28．9\％ | 343 | 55．1\％ | 442 | 33．6\％ | 335 | 51．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| C | Forest Hill High | ELL | 441 | 32．9\％ | 394 | 54．3\％ | 488 | 37．2\％ | 414 | 54．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Non－ELL | 460 | 36．9\％ | 471 | 59．8\％ | 509 | 41．3\％ | 467 | 57．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | ESE | 51 | 13．9\％ | 25 | 39．7\％ | 57 | 15．8\％ | 31 | 43．1\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Forest Hill High | Non－ESE | 850 | 38．3\％ | 840 | 57．9\％ | 940 | 43．0\％ | 850 | 56．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | FRL | 700 | 32．7\％ | 644 | 55．9\％ | 687 | 36．3\％ | 583 | 53．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Forest Hill High | Non－FRL | 201 | 45．3\％ | 221 | 61．2\％ | 310 | 47．3\％ | 298 | 60．4\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Total＊ | 683 | 25．1\％ | 558 | 53．8\％ | 816 | 29．9\％ | 453 | 33．6\％ | ） |  |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Asian | 13 | 40．6\％ | 12 | 50．0\％ | 15 | 53．6\％ | 14 | 51．9\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Black | 227 | 28．2\％ | 160 | 47．5\％ | 265 | 34．1\％ | 125 | 27．8\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Hispanic | 374 | 23．2\％ | 330 | 57．5\％ | 458 | 27．2\％ | 264 | 35．2\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Native | 17 | 19．1\％ | 13 | 65．0\％ | 22 | 28．9\％ | 10 | 38．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | O |
| C | Lake Worth High | Multi | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | White | 44 | 30．3\％ | 36 | 52．2\％ | 47 | 34．1\％ | 32 | 40．0\％ | O | O |  | ） |
| C | Lake Worth High | Female | 409 | 31．1\％ | 362 | 57．3\％ | 474 | 36．4\％ | 281 | 35．0\％ | ） | ） |  | ） |
| C | Lake Worth High | Male | 274 | 19．5\％ | 196 | 48．4\％ | 342 | 23．9\％ | 172 | 31．6\％ | O |  |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | ELL | 378 | 21．5\％ | 295 | 52．8\％ | 480 | 27．0\％ | 231 | 30．3\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | む〇 | $$ | むை |  | む〇 |  | む〇 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \stackrel{.}{n} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Non－ELL | 305 | 31．7\％ | 263 | 55．0\％ | 336 | 35．4\％ | 222 | 37．9\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Lake Worth High | ESE | 51 | 10．7\％ | 15 | 23．4\％ | 65 | 13．9\％ | 13 | 14．3\％ |  | O |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Non－ESE | 632 | 28．1\％ | 543 | 55．8\％ | 751 | 33．2\％ | 440 | 35．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | FRL | 614 | 24．6\％ | 491 | 52．8\％ | 662 | 31．4\％ | 347 | 32．4\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Lake Worth High | Non－FRL | 69 | 30．0\％ | 67 | 62．6\％ | 154 | 24．8\％ | 106 | 38．3\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Atlantic High | Total＊ | 564 | 25．8\％ | 442 | 68．2\％ | 706 | 33．8\％ | 371 | 43．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Asian | 52 | 43．0\％ | 36 | 57．1\％ | 43 | 37．7\％ | 31 | 66．0\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Black | 273 | 20．1\％ | 210 | 66．2\％ | 410 | 31．6\％ | 171 | 35．3\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Hispanic | 90 | 26．2\％ | 69 | 65．1\％ | 106 | 30．8\％ | 70 | 52．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Multi | 18 | 32．1\％ | 13 | 68．4\％ | 20 | 39．2\％ | 16 | 69．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | White | 131 | 42．8\％ | 114 | 79．7\％ | 127 | 46．0\％ | 83 | 51．9\％ |  | O | ） |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Female | 335 | 29．0\％ | 272 | 70．5\％ | 439 | 40．9\％ | 252 | 47．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Male | 229 | 22．1\％ | 170 | 64．9\％ | 267 | 26．4\％ | 119 | 37．9\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O | ） |  |
| S | Atlantic High | ELL | 114 | 17．2\％ | 76 | 58．0\％ | 159 | 26．4\％ | 55 | 29．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Non－ELL | 450 | 29．5\％ | 366 | 70．8\％ | 547 | 36．9\％ | 316 | 48．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | ESE | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | 35 | 12．9\％ | 10 | 26．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Non－ESE | 558 | 28．8\％ | 439 | 68．5\％ | 671 | 36．9\％ | 361 | 44．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | FRL | 355 | 21．2\％ | 267 | 65．0\％ | 427 | 30．9\％ | 200 | 39．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | Atlantic High | Non－FRL | 209 | 40．3\％ | 175 | 73．8\％ | 279 | 39．6\％ | 171 | 49．4\％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Total＊ | 1，573 | 47．4\％ | 3，384 | 75．9\％ | 1，660 | 50．4\％ | 3，288 | 70．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Asian | 65 | 52．4\％ | 143 | 83．6\％ | 56 | 54．9\％ | 121 | 78．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Black | 102 | 33．9\％ | 180 | 66．9\％ | 111 | 33．8\％ | 175 | 59．1\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | ） |
| S | Boca Raton High | Hispanic | 426 | 41．9\％ | 924 | 77．0\％ | 513 | 47．9\％ | 974 | 69．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | 11 | 61．1\％ | 24 | 70．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Multi | 42 | 47．2\％ | 80 | 72．7\％ | 38 | 41．8\％ | 73 | 73．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | White | 929 | 52．5\％ | 2，040 | 76．0\％ | 931 | 55．3\％ | 1，921 | 71．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Female | 867 | 52．4\％ | 1，901 | 77．4\％ | 900 | 55．2\％ | 1，896 | 73．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | Male | 706 | 42．5\％ | 1，483 | 73．9\％ | 760 | 45．6\％ | 1，392 | 67．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ | － |  |  |
| S | Boca Raton High | ELL | 123 | 24．9\％ | 209 | 68．3\％ | 167 | 31．7\％ | 260 | 65．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | O |
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Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | む〇 | $$ | むొ |  | む〇 | O $\tilde{u}$ 0 0 0 0 | む〇 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \frac{2}{\overline{0}} \\ & \frac{7}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{.}{N} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | － $\stackrel{0}{=1}$ 0 0 |
| S | Spanish River High | Male | 469 | 37．0\％ | 633 | 82．3\％ | 565 | 43．6\％ | 841 | 76．0\％ | O | O |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | ELL | 62 | 20．0\％ | 70 | 79．5\％ | 99 | 29．1\％ | 128 | 68．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | Non－ELL | 953 | 42．6\％ | 1，288 | 83．4\％ | 1，164 | 51．2\％ | 1，740 | 77．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | ESE | 29 | 11．0\％ | 30 | 68．2\％ | 33 | 12．6\％ | 31 | 59．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | ） |
| S | Spanish River High | Non－ESE | 986 | 43．2\％ | 1，328 | 83．6\％ | 1，230 | 52．3\％ | 1，837 | 77．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Spanish River High | FRL | 251 | 28．9\％ | 295 | 81．5\％ | 289 | 37．8\％ | 341 | 69．5\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| S | Spanish River High | Non－FRL | 764 | 45．5\％ | 1，063 | 83．6\％ | 974 | 52．6\％ | 1，527 | 78．8\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Total＊ | 59 | 13．5\％ | 36 | 37．1\％ | 57 | 13．4\％ | 32 | 41．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Asian | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Black | 30 | 12．0\％ | 16 | 31．4\％ | 21 | 8．4\％ | 11 | 39．3\％ | O | O | O |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Hispanic | 27 | 15．8\％ | 19 | 44．2\％ | 35 | 22．4\％ | 20 | 41．7\％ |  | ） | ） |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | White | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Female | 40 | 18．2\％ | 24 | 36．9\％ | 33 | 15．4\％ | 20 | 47．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Male | 19 | 8．8\％ | 12 | 37．5\％ | 24 | 11．4\％ | 12 | 34．3\％ | ） | O |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | ELL | 17 | 14．3\％ | 13 | 44．8\％ | 26 | 23．4\％ | 15 | 44．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） | ） |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Non－ELL | 42 | 13．2\％ | 23 | 33．8\％ | 31 | 9．9\％ | 17 | 39．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ， |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | ESE | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Non－ESE | 58 | 17．5\％ | 36 | 37．5\％ | 57 | 17．5\％ | 32 | 41．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | FRL | 57 | 13．5\％ | 34 | 36．6\％ | 47 | 12．2\％ | 26 | 40．6\％ |  | － |  |  |
| G | Pahokee Middle／Senior High | Non－FRL | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | 10 | 25．0\％ | 6 | 46．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Total＊ | 171 | 6．0\％ | 135 | 57．9\％ | 384 | 13．8\％ | 304 | 49．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Asian | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Black | 117 | 6．4\％ | 84 | 53．5\％ | 261 | 15．3\％ | 204 | 47．7\％ |  | － |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Hispanic | 39 | 5．2\％ | 39 | 70．9\％ | 95 | 11．4\％ | 80 | 57．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  | ） |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Multi | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | White | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | 13 | 8．3\％ | 10 | 45．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Female | 117 | 8．6\％ | 92 | 58．2\％ | 233 | 17．0\％ | 202 | 51．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Male | 54 | 3．6\％ | 43 | 57．3\％ | 151 | 10．7\％ | 102 | 46．2\％ |  |  |  | O |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | ELL | 52 | 5．4\％ | 37 | 56．1\％ | 99 | 10．1\％ | 77 | 51．0\％ | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | む〇 | $$ | むొ |  | む〇 | O $\tilde{u}$ 0 0 0 0 | ১ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \frac{2}{\overline{0}} \\ & \frac{7}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{.}{N} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Non－ELL | 119 | 6．2\％ | 98 | 58．7\％ | 285 | 15．8\％ | 227 | 48．8\％ | O | O |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | ESE | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | 15 | 3．0\％ | 14 | 60．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Non－ESE | 167 | 7．1\％ | 132 | 57．6\％ | 369 | 16．1\％ | 290 | 48．9\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | FRL | 146 | 5．7\％ | 111 | 56．1\％ | 278 | 13．0\％ | 212 | 48．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | Palm Beach Lakes High | Non－FRL | 25 | 8．1\％ | 24 | 68．6\％ | 106 | 16．4\％ | 92 | 51．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Total＊ | 1，207 | 37．8\％ | 1，942 | 87．2\％ | 1，277 | 39．1\％ | 2，012 | 85．2\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Asian | 60 | 45．1\％ | 97 | 87．4\％ | 71 | 46．1\％ | 118 | 89．4\％ |  | ） |  | ， |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Black | 125 | 26．8\％ | 159 | 81．5\％ | 156 | 31．9\％ | 162 | 70．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Hispanic | 278 | 35．1\％ | 420 | 86．6\％ | 287 | 33．1\％ | 441 | 85．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Multi | 39 | 36．4\％ | 55 | 74．3\％ | 41 | 36．0\％ | 71 | 92．2\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | White | 702 | 41．8\％ | 1，204 | 88．9\％ | 717 | 44．0\％ | 1，213 | 87．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Female | 664 | 43．2\％ | 1，124 | 87．8\％ | 720 | 44．6\％ | 1，186 | 86．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Male | 543 | 32．9\％ | 818 | 86．5\％ | 557 | 33．7\％ | 826 | 83．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | ELL | 73 | 24．5\％ | 78 | 74．3\％ | 90 | 26．5\％ | 115 | 78．2\％ | O | O |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Non－ELL | 1，134 | 39．2\％ | 1，864 | 87．9\％ | 1，187 | 40．6\％ | 1，897 | 85．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | ESE | 38 | 8．4\％ | 33 | 67．3\％ | 35 | 8．1\％ | 27 | 58．7\％ |  | O |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Non－ESE | 1，169 | 42．8\％ | 1，909 | 87．7\％ | 1，242 | 43．8\％ | 1，985 | 85．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | FRL | 383 | 28．4\％ | 518 | 83．0\％ | 331 | 29．6\％ | 391 | 76．7\％ |  | O |  | ） |
| S | Park Vista Comm High | Non－FRL | 824 | 44．8\％ | 1，424 | 88．9\％ | 946 | 44．1\％ | 1，621 | 87．6\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Total＊ | 1，035 | 43．0\％ | 1，496 | 85．0\％ | 1，281 | 48．6\％ | 1，633 | 75．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Asian | 35 | 38．5\％ | 53 | 88．3\％ | 51 | 55．4\％ | 72 | 75．8\％ | ） | O | ） |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Black | 67 | 27．0\％ | 92 | 84．4\％ | 107 | 42．1\％ | 92 | 67．2\％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Hispanic | 302 | 35．1\％ | 431 | 84．8\％ | 369 | 41．4\％ | 431 | 73．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  | ） |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Multi | 24 | 42．1\％ | 29 | 74．4\％ | 29 | 45．3\％ | 43 | 79．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O | ） | O |
| S | Olympic Heights High | White | 602 | 52．9\％ | 886 | 85．4\％ | 720 | 54．3\％ | 989 | 77．2\％ |  | ） | O |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Female | 542 | 50．1\％ | 819 | 86．3\％ | 641 | 53．4\％ | 859 | 79．2\％ | O | ） | O | ） |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Male | 493 | 37．2\％ | 677 | 83．6\％ | 640 | 44．5\％ | 774 | 71．5\％ | O | O |  | O |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | む〇 | $$ | むొ |  | む〇 | O $\tilde{u}$ 0 0 0 0 | む〇 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \frac{2}{\overline{0}} \\ & \frac{7}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{.}{N} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | ELL | 81 | 18．8\％ | 95 | 78．5\％ | 127 | 26．9\％ | 140 | 71．1\％ | O | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Non－ELL | 954 | 48．3\％ | 1，401 | 85．5\％ | 1，154 | 53．3\％ | 1，493 | 75．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | ESE | 33 | 10．3\％ | 31 | 66．0\％ | 60 | 18．2\％ | 40 | 53．3\％ |  | O | ） | ） |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Non－ESE | 1，002 | 48．0\％ | 1，465 | 85．6\％ | 1，221 | 52．9\％ | 1，593 | 76．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Olympic Heights High | FRL | 335 | 30．8\％ | 465 | 83．2\％ | 343 | 35．9\％ | 372 | 69．1\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Olympic Heights High | Non－FRL | 700 | 53．0\％ | 1，031 | 85．9\％ | 938 | 55．8\％ | 1，261 | 77．5\％ |  | O | ） |  |
| C | Wellington High | Total＊ | 1，412 | 53．2\％ | 2，894 | 82．9\％ | 1，628 | 58．9\％ | 2，450 | 78．4\％ | ） | O |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Asian | 51 | 65．4\％ | 136 | 93．8\％ | 58 | 74．4\％ | 87 | 84．5\％ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Wellington High | Black | 118 | 36．3\％ | 196 | 74．2\％ | 156 | 43．7\％ | 179 | 69．4\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Hispanic | 486 | 51．0\％ | 939 | 80．4\％ | 578 | 55．5\％ | 792 | 75．7\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Multi | 39 | 44．3\％ | 86 | 84．3\％ | 59 | 57．8\％ | 74 | 76．3\％ |  | P | ） |  |
| C | Wellington High | White | 712 | 59．4\％ | 1，521 | 84．8\％ | 772 | 65．7\％ | 1，310 | 81．5\％ |  | O |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Female | 856 | 63．9\％ | 1，864 | 83．8\％ | 929 | 66．1\％ | 1，507 | 81．5\％ | ） | ） |  | ） |
| C | Wellington High | Male | 556 | 42．2\％ | 1，030 | 81．4\％ | 699 | 51．4\％ | 943 | 73．8\％ |  | O | O |  |
| C | Wellington High | ELL | 149 | 44．7\％ | 276 | 83．4\％ | 186 | 50．5\％ | 219 | 71．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Non－ELL | 1，263 | 54．4\％ | 2，618 | 82．9\％ | 1，442 | 60．2\％ | 2，231 | 79．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | ESE | 44 | 12．1\％ | 60 | 75．0\％ | 101 | 27．8\％ | 59 | 46．1\％ | ） | O |  | ） |
| C | Wellington High | Non－ESE | 1，368 | 59．7\％ | 2，834 | 83．1\％ | 1，527 | 63．6\％ | 2，391 | 79．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | FRL | 503 | 42．0\％ | 912 | 79．0\％ | 470 | 44．9\％ | 565 | 71．7\％ |  | O |  |  |
| C | Wellington High | Non－FRL | 909 | 62．4\％ | 1，982 | 84．9\％ | 1，158 | 67．4\％ | 1，885 | 80．6\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Total＊ | 840 | 36．4\％ | 1，181 | 77．2\％ | 1，213 | 52．0\％ | 1，292 | 62．7\％ |  | O |  |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Asian | 50 | 49．5\％ | 83 | 89．2\％ | 52 | 58．4\％ | 66 | 71．0\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Black | 96 | 15．0\％ | 91 | 61．9\％ | 227 | 36．1\％ | 113 | 37．5\％ | ） |  |  | ） |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Hispanic | 154 | 37．2\％ | 204 | 72．9\％ | 210 | 48．4\％ | 224 | 61．5\％ | O | － | ， | ） |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Multi | 35 | 41．2\％ | 47 | 82．5\％ | 34 | 54．8\％ | 45 | 71．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | White | 504 | 47．6\％ | 755 | 79．4\％ | 688 | 61．8\％ | 843 | 68．1\％ |  | ） |  | ） |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Female | 415 | 38．6\％ | 598 | 77．7\％ | 592 | 54．4\％ | 656 | 64．3\％ | O | O |  |  |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ぷ |  | ぷ |  | ぷ |  | ぷ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{1}{\hat{N}} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | － |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Male | 425 | 34．5\％ | 583 | 76．7\％ | 621 | 49．9\％ | 636 | 61．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | ELL | 50 | 27．6\％ | 60 | 80．0\％ | 83 | 35．9\％ | 60 | 46．9\％ | $\bigcirc$ | － | O | ） |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Non－ELL | 790 | 37．2\％ | 1，121 | 77．0\％ | 1，130 | 53．8\％ | 1，232 | 63．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | ESE | 36 | 9．6\％ | 50 | 75．8\％ | 61 | 15．6\％ | 43 | 51．8\％ | ） | － | $\bigcirc$ | ） |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Non－ESE | 804 | 41．7\％ | 1，131 | 77．3\％ | 1，152 | 59．3\％ | 1，249 | 63．2\％ | ） | O | ） |  |
| N | WT Dwyer High | FRL | 280 | 24．5\％ | 329 | 68．5\％ | 397 | 39．9\％ | 290 | 49．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | WT Dwyer High | Non－FRL | 560 | 48．2\％ | 852 | 81．1\％ | 816 | 61．0\％ | 1，002 | 67．8\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Total＊ | 213 | 21．0\％ | 140 | 45．0\％ | 227 | 23．1\％ | 189 | 54．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Asian | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Black | 125 | 19．4\％ | 77 | 41．6\％ | 135 | 22．2\％ | 112 | 54．6\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | － |
| G | Glades Central High | Hispanic | 78 | 23．3\％ | 52 | 46．8\％ | 80 | 23．9\％ | 68 | 53．5\％ |  | O |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Multi | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | White | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Female | 134 | 25．8\％ | 110 | 53．7\％ | 158 | 29．9\％ | 142 | 55．9\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |  | D |
| G | Glades Central High | Male | 79 | 15．9\％ | 30 | 28．3\％ | 69 | 15．2\％ | 47 | 49．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | O | ） |
| G | Glades Central High | ELL | 74 | 18．9\％ | 58 | 52．7\％ | 75 | 21．1\％ | 73 | 58．9\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| G | Glades Central High | Non－ELL | 139 | 22．3\％ | 82 | 40．8\％ | 152 | 24．2\％ | 116 | 51．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | ESE | 10 | 4．9\％ | 2 | 14．3\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Non－ESE | 203 | 25．1\％ | 138 | 46．5\％ | 220 | 27．7\％ | 188 | 55．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | FRL | 203 | 20．8\％ | 136 | 45．5\％ | 204 | 23．4\％ | 169 | 54．3\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| G | Glades Central High | Non－FRL | 10 | 24．4\％ | 4 | 33．3\％ | 23 | 20．5\％ | 20 | 51．3\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | － |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Total＊ | 732 | 29．1\％ | 804 | 61．8\％ | 1，203 | 47．5\％ | 950 | 49．7\％ | ） | O |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Asian | 37 | 50．7\％ | 69 | 77．5\％ | 47 | 64．4\％ | 69 | 70．4\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ | O | － |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Black | 301 | 28．5\％ | 270 | 54．8\％ | 518 | 48．4\％ | 340 | 42．8\％ | － |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Hispanic | 221 | 24．8\％ | 250 | 64．3\％ | 398 | 43．6\％ | 312 | 51．6\％ | － |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Multi | 29 | 30．9\％ | 45 | 72．6\％ | 38 | 48．1\％ | 38 | 55．1\％ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |  | D |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | White | 142 | 36．1\％ | 167 | 63．0\％ | 198 | 51．7\％ | 188 | 55．1\％ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Female | 427 | 35．0\％ | 505 | 65．4\％ | 682 | 54．3\％ | 571 | 51．3\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | む〇 |  | む〇 |  | ぷ | $$ | む〇 |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Male | 305 | 23．5\％ | 299 | 56．5\％ | 521 | 40．9\％ | 379 | 47．4\％ | O | O |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | ELL | 104 | 19．1\％ | 101 | 59．8\％ | 199 | 36．7\％ | 129 | 44．0\％ |  | O |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Non－ELL | 628 | 31．8\％ | 703 | 62．1\％ | 1，004 | 50．5\％ | 821 | 50．7\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | ESE | 29 | 6．9\％ | 16 | 39．0\％ | 101 | 22．9\％ | 42 | 31．8\％ | ） | O | ） | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Non－ESE | 703 | 33．5\％ | 788 | 62．5\％ | 1，102 | 52．8\％ | 908 | 51．0\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | FRL | 528 | 27．4\％ | 504 | 57．1\％ | 760 | 44．5\％ | 523 | 45．4\％ |  | O |  |  |
| C | Royal Palm Beach High | Non－FRL | 204 | 34．4\％ | 300 | 71．6\％ | 443 | 53．8\％ | 427 | 56．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  | ） |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Total＊ | 229 | 14．4\％ | 188 | 56．0\％ | 162 | 9．9\％ | 81 | 37．7\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Asian | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Black | 143 | 13．2\％ | 108 | 51．2\％ | 94 | 8．9\％ | 32 | 26．4\％ | O | O |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Hispanic | 49 | 14．9\％ | 40 | 66．7\％ | 44 | 11．1\％ | 31 | 52．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Multi | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | White | 25 | 20．5\％ | 25 | 62．5\％ | 20 | 14．7\％ | 15 | 51．7\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Female | 134 | 18．1\％ | 122 | 59．8\％ | 91 | 11．6\％ | 49 | 41．2\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Male | 95 | 11．2\％ | 66 | 50．0\％ | 71 | 8．2\％ | 32 | 33．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | ELL | 74 | 12．0\％ | 53 | 55．8\％ | 51 | 8．3\％ | 25 | 38．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Non－ELL | 155 | 15．9\％ | 135 | 56．0\％ | 111 | 10．8\％ | 56 | 37．3\％ | $\bigcirc$ | － |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | ESE | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Non－ESE | 221 | 16．8\％ | 184 | 56．1\％ | 155 | 11．4\％ | 79 | 38．5\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | FRL | 173 | 12．7\％ | 131 | 54．8\％ | 103 | 8．5\％ | 42 | 32．1\％ |  |  |  | ） |
| S | Boynton Beach Comm High | Non－FRL | 56 | 25．3\％ | 57 | 58．8\％ | 59 | 13．5\％ | 39 | 46．4\％ | ） | ） | ） | ） |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Total＊ | 1，196 | 39．1\％ | 2，352 | 79．5\％ | 1，265 | 41．6\％ | 2，238 | 76．4\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Asian | 82 | 64．6\％ | 198 | 83．2\％ | 91 | 62．3\％ | 175 | 75．4\％ | $\bigcirc$ | ） | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Black | 190 | 30．9\％ | 338 | 74．4\％ | 211 | 33．9\％ | 329 | 72．1\％ | ） | ） |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Hispanic | 430 | 35．4\％ | 770 | 78．2\％ | 468 | 37．7\％ | 745 | 72．9\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Multi | 35 | 44．9\％ | 67 | 74．4\％ | 36 | 50．7\％ | 67 | 87．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | O | O | ） |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | White | 452 | 44．4\％ | 963 | 82．0\％ | 450 | 47．7\％ | 900 | 80．6\％ | O |  |  |  |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change <br> FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | ১ | $$ | むొ |  | む〇 |  | む〇 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{.}{N} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Female | 656 | 46．1\％ | 1，313 | 80．9\％ | 666 | 47．8\％ | 1，187 | 75．4\％ |  | O |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Male | 540 | 32．9\％ | 1，039 | 77．7\％ | 599 | 36．3\％ | 1，051 | 77．5\％ |  |  |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | ELL | 117 | 21．8\％ | 200 | 76．9\％ | 142 | 25．4\％ | 242 | 76．3\％ | ） |  |  | ） |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Non－ELL | 1，079 | 42．7\％ | 2，152 | 79．7\％ | 1，123 | 45．2\％ | 1，996 | 76．4\％ | ） | O |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | ESE | 37 | 8．7\％ | 40 | 65．6\％ | 53 | 12．1\％ | 59 | 62．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Non－ESE | 1，159 | 43．9\％ | 2，312 | 79．8\％ | 1，212 | 46．5\％ | 2，179 | 76．8\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | FRL | 514 | 30．0\％ | 908 | 75．4\％ | 439 | 30．9\％ | 695 | 70．9\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| C | Palm Beach Central High | Non－FRL | 682 | 50．5\％ | 1，444 | 82．3\％ | 826 | 50．9\％ | 1，543 | 79．1\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | ） |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Total＊ | 16 | 15．7\％ | 7 | 43．8\％ | 14 | 16．9\％ | 9 | 64．3\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Black | 13 | 15．9\％ | 5 | 38．5\％ | 11 | 16．2\％ | 6 | 54．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Hispanic | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Female | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | 10 | 22．7\％ | 6 | 60．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Male | 10 | 20．0\％ | 7 | 70．0\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | ELL | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Non－ELL | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | 10 | 19．6\％ | 6 | 60．0\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | ESE | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Non－ESE | 15 | 17．6\％ | 7 | 46．7\％ | 14 | 20．9\％ | 9 | 64．3\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ） | $\bigcirc$ |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | FRL | 14 | 14．3\％ | 7 | 50．0\％ | 12 | 15．8\％ | 7 | 58．3\％ |  | O |  |  |
| S | Village Acad Ctr | Non－FRL | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Total＊ | 921 | 38．4\％ | 1，278 | 80．3\％ | 918 | 37．6\％ | 1，285 | 79．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Asian | 43 | 46．2\％ | 52 | 76．5\％ | 45 | 44．6\％ | 66 | 82．5\％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Black | 63 | 35．6\％ | 77 | 78．6\％ | 52 | 31．1\％ | 70 | 77．8\％ | ） |  | ） |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Hispanic | 361 | 39．8\％ | 458 | 79．8\％ | 344 | 35．7\％ | 477 | 79．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Multi | 28 | 42．4\％ | 38 | 82．6\％ | 23 | 41．1\％ | 35 | 76．1\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | White | 424 | 37．3\％ | 650 | 81．1\％ | 450 | 39．4\％ | 633 | 79．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Female | 571 | 43．3\％ | 785 | 81．7\％ | 576 | 41．8\％ | 807 | 80．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Male | 350 | 32．5\％ | 493 | 78．3\％ | 342 | 32．1\％ | 478 | 78．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | ELL | 122 | 29．0\％ | 149 | 75．3\％ | 132 | 28．4\％ | 170 | 75．9\％ |  |  |  |  |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

| Region | School | Group | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | む〇 | $$ | むొ |  | む〇 |  | む〇 |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Non－ELL | 799 | 40．5\％ | 1，129 | 81．0\％ | 786 | 39．7\％ | 1，115 | 80．2\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | ESE | 14 | 6．6\％ | 14 | 73．7\％ | 21 | 8．9\％ | 17 | 54．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Non－ESE | 907 | 41．5\％ | 1，264 | 80．4\％ | 897 | 40．6\％ | 1，268 | 80．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | FRL | 320 | 31．9\％ | 386 | 75．0\％ | 239 | 28．3\％ | 283 | 73．9\％ | O |  | － |  |
| S | West Boca Raton Comm High | Non－FRL | 601 | 43．2\％ | 892 | 82．9\％ | 679 | 42．5\％ | 1，002 | 81．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Total＊ | 705 | 31．4\％ | 1，367 | 81．6\％ | 699 | 30．2\％ | 1，298 | 80．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Asian | 34 | 49．3\％ | 75 | 91．5\％ | 32 | 43．8\％ | 67 | 80．7\％ |  | ） | ） | ） |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Black | 81 | 29．9\％ | 134 | 73．6\％ | 74 | 23．8\％ | 118 | 77．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ） |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Hispanic | 195 | 26．1\％ | 368 | 82．0\％ | 210 | 27．9\％ | 368 | 77．6\％ |  | O |  | ） |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Multi | 29 | 35．8\％ | 65 | 85．5\％ | 26 | 31．7\％ | 56 | 84．8\％ | O |  | ） |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | White | 365 | 34．3\％ | 724 | 81．8\％ | 354 | 32．6\％ | 685 | 82．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Female | 404 | 38．5\％ | 775 | 81．8\％ | 411 | 37．1\％ | 795 | 80．6\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Male | 301 | 25．2\％ | 592 | 81．4\％ | 288 | 23．8\％ | 503 | 79．8\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | ELL | 41 | 21．1\％ | 77 | 84．6\％ | 41 | 17．5\％ | 75 | 80．6\％ | ） | － | ） | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Non－ELL | 664 | 32．4\％ | 1，290 | 81．4\％ | 658 | 31．6\％ | 1，223 | 80．3\％ |  |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | ESE | 24 | 6．3\％ | 29 | 67．4\％ | 42 | 10．7\％ | 42 | 62．7\％ |  | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Non－ESE | 681 | 36．5\％ | 1，338 | 82．0\％ | 657 | 34．1\％ | 1，256 | 81．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | FRL | 288 | 25．5\％ | 536 | 79．3\％ | 208 | 21．6\％ | 353 | 75．3\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ） | ） |
| N | Seminole Ridge Comm High | Non－FRL | 417 | 37．4\％ | 831 | 83．2\％ | 491 | 36．2\％ | 945 | 82．4\％ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Total＊ | 463 | 46．3\％ | 832 | 77．6\％ | 439 | 40．3\％ | 670 | 76．1\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Asian | 24 | 70．6\％ | 40 | 69．0\％ | 21 | 58．3\％ | 37 | 77．1\％ |  | ） |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Black | 95 | 37．7\％ | 138 | 68．7\％ | 77 | 26．9\％ | 94 | 62．3\％ | ） |  | $\bigcirc$ | ） |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Hispanic | 119 | 44．1\％ | 237 | 83．2\％ | 110 | 38．2\％ | 163 | 78．0\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Native | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Multi | 14 | 45．2\％ | 30 | 85．7\％ | 18 | 43．9\％ | 38 | 92．7\％ |  | ） | O | O |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | White | 208 | 50．7\％ | 378 | 78．3\％ | 209 | 48．8\％ | 330 | 78．2\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Female | 227 | 49．5\％ | 405 | 78．9\％ | 210 | 41．3\％ | 344 | 79．4\％ |  |  | ） |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Male | 236 | 43．5\％ | 427 | 76．4\％ | 229 | 39．5\％ | 326 | 72．9\％ | O | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |

Advanced Certificate of Education（AICE）Participation and Performance－－School by Subgroup Summary

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  | FY22 |  |  |  | Change From FY21 to FY22 |  | Gap Change FY21 to FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation |  | Passing |  | Participation |  | Passing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region | School | Group |  | ぷ | 0 <br> $\tilde{0}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br>  <br>  | ぷ |  | ぷ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | ぷ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | ELL | 53 | 42．1\％ | 85 | 72．6\％ | 45 | 28．7\％ | 63 | 70．0\％ | $\bigcirc$ | － | O |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Non－ELL | 410 | 46．9\％ | 747 | 78．2\％ | 394 | 42．3\％ | 607 | 76．8\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | ESE | 10 | 16．7\％ | 8 | 53．3\％ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Non－ESE | 453 | 48．1\％ | 824 | 78．0\％ | 434 | 42．9\％ | 665 | 76．3\％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | FRL | 191 | 39．9\％ | 314 | 74．8\％ | 148 | 31．0\％ | 181 | 66．5\％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | ） |
| CH | Somerset Canyons High | Non－FRL | 272 | 52．1\％ | 518 | 79．4\％ | 291 | 47．7\％ | 489 | 80．4\％ |  |  |  |  |

Note：Schools with fewer than 10 students tested or results for only one year were not included in this table．
＊Reference group for gap computations．
＊＊Groups with fewer than 10 participants are suppressed．
2 or more points increase of participation，passing rates，or decrease gap．
2 or more points decrease in participation，passing rates，or increase gap．

