Audit of

School Bus Safety Inspections

May 19, 2017

Report #2017-03



MISSION STATEMENT

The School Board of Palm Beach County is committed to providing a world class education with excellence and equity to empower each student to reach his or her highest potential with the most effective staff to foster the knowledge, skills, and ethics required for responsible citizenship and productive careers.

Robert M. Avossa, Ed.D. Superintendent of Schools

School Board Members

Audit Committee Members

Chuck Shaw, Chairman Debra L. Robinson, M.D., Vice Chairwoman Marcia Andrews Frank A. Barbieri, Jr., Esq. Karen M. Brill Barbara McQuinn Erica Whitfield

Noah Silver, CPA, Chairman David H. Talley, Vice Chairman Tammy McDonald Anderson N. Ronald Bennett, CPA Michael Dixon, CPA/PFS Deborah Manzo Richard Roberts, CPA

Audit Committee Representatives

Frank A. Barbieri, Jr., Esq., School Board Robert M. Avossa, Ed.D., Superintendent of Schools Mike Burke, Chief Financial Officer JulieAnn Rico, Esq., General Counsel Maureen Werner, Principal Representative Kathryn Gundlach, CTA President

Audit of

School Bus Safety Inspections

Table of Contents

		Page
EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	i
PURP	OSE AND AUTHORITY	1
SCOP	E AND METHODOLOGY	1
BACK	KGROUND	2
CON	CLUSIONS	
1.	Child Alert and Camera SystemsI. OIG's May 2016 On-Site ObservationsII. OIG's Follow-Up On-Site Observations in September 2016	3 3 5
2.	392 School Bus Safety Inspections at West Compound During July 2014 Through May 2016 Conducted by an Inspector With Expired Certification	8
3.	5% of Sample Safety Inspections Performed Beyond the Maximum 30-School-Day Interval Requirement	9
EXHI	BITS	
1.	Sample Child Alert System with Manually Disconnected Wiring	11
2.	Bus Driver and Attendance Pre/Post-trip Inspection Report	12
3.	School Bus Safety Inspection Form	13
APPE	NDIX	

15

This page intentionally left blank.

Audit of

School Bus Safety Inspections

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Pursuant to the *Office of Inspector General's (OIG) 2015-16 Work Plan*, we have audited the School Bus Safety Inspections during Fiscal Year 2016. The primary objectives of this audit were to determine (1) if Post-Trip Passenger Check (Child Alert) and Video Surveillance Camera (Camera) Systems on school buses were in working conditions, (2) if school bus inspections were conducted by certified inspectors, and (3) if school buses were inspected within the required time interval. The audit produced the following major conclusions.

1. Child Alert and Camera Systems

During the audit, OIG conducted two on-site observations to determine if the Post-Trip Passenger Check System (Child Alert) and Video Surveillance Camera (Camera) equipment on school buses were functioning as intended.

The Child Alert System is required for all buses manufactured since 2005. Specifically, *Inspection Procedures A.16.d.* of the Florida Department of Education's (DOE) *School Bus Safety Inspection Manual* states "*Check for proper operation of post-trip passenger check system (required on buses manufactured since 2005)*", and repair the system if it "*does not operate according to manufacturer's specifications, or is not working*".

The requirements for the Child Alert System contained in the DOE's *School Bus Specifications*, states that "*The bus must be equipped with a system to require the driver to walk to the rearmost interior of the bus after each trip to deactivate the system via a push button and to ensure that no passengers are left on the bus.*"

I. OIG's May 2016 On-Site Observations

During May 11 through 24, 2016, OIG conducted on-site observations of the Child Alert and Camera Systems on 89 sample school buses at the District's six bus compounds.

Results: 65% of Child Alert Not Working and 35% of Child Alert Manually Disconnected/Disabled on Sample Buses. Our observations found that 58 (65%) of the sample buses had non-working Child Alert, and six (7%) had non-working Camera. Furthermore, the Child Alert System on 31 (35%) of the sample buses with non-working Child Alert were manually disconnected/disabled by someone.

<u>Corrective Actions Reported by Transportation</u>. To ensure safety for all students and employees, on June 9, 2016, OIG provided observation results and preliminary conclusions to the Chief Operating Officer and Director of Transportation for immediate corrective actions. On August 9, 2016, Transportation informed the OIG that only 18 (2%) and nine (1%) buses had non-working Child Alert and Camera respectively

II. OIG's Follow-Up On-Site Observations in September 2016

On September 7 and 8, 2016, OIG performed a follow-up on-site observations of the safety devices for another 61 sample buses at all six bus compounds, in order to ascertain the status of corrective actions reported by Transportation. These 61 sample buses were randomly selected from those buses that were in service and had been transporting students during those two days.

Results: 21% Child Alert Not Working and 2% Child Alert Manually Disconnected. The follow-up observations found that the non-working Child Alert equipment has reduced significantly from 65% down to 21% of the sample buses. However, we noted that 11 (18%) of the sample buses had non-working Child Alert, including 10 (16%) with system failure, and one (2%) manually disconnected; and two (3%) had non-working Camera; and two (3%) had both non-working Child Alert and Camera.

<u>Mandatory Monthly Safety Inspections Not Effective in Identifying Defective Devices</u>. Both Child Alert and Camera Systems are part of the required monthly safety inspections. Our review of the latest *Mandatory Safety Inspection Forms* found that only two of the 13 buses with non-working Child Alert observed by OIG were noted non-working devices on the *Inspection Forms*; all the other sample buses with non-working devices were not identified on the *Inspection Forms*.

Daily Pre-Trip and Post-Trip Inspection Reports. Pursuant to Florida Board of Education Rule 6A-3.0171, FAC, and Florida Statute Section 316.6135, bus drivers are required "to inspect the bus at least daily prior to the beginning of the first daily trip or more often as required by the school district" and "to perform a complete interior inspection of each bus after each run and trip to ensure no students are left on board." District's procedures require bus drivers to perform daily Pre- and Post-Trip Inspections and document the inspection results on the Pre/Post-trip Inspection Report. Our September 7 and 8, 2016, follow-up on-site observations found 13 (21%) of the 61 sample buses had non-working Child Alert. On September 21, 2016, Transportation provided OIG with the September 7, 2016, Pre/Post-trip Inspection Reports for 12 of the 13 buses. All 12 Inspection Reports did not indicate that there were problems with the Child Alert, which were contrary to the OIG follow-up observation results.

Management's Response: Management concurs. Actions have been taken to correct the issues cited.

2. 392 School Bus Safety Inspections at West Compound During July 2014 through May 2016 Conducted by an Inspector With Expired Certification

The review of 140 *Safety Inspection Forms* for 70 sample buses during Fiscal Year 2016 disclosed that 24 sample inspections were conducted by an inspector with expired certification, and seven *Safety Inspection Forms* were not signed/initialed by the supervisor.

According to Florida Department of Education, the concerned inspector was previously certified but "*His certificate expired on June 30, 2014, when he was reassigned.*"

Transportation's records indicated that this non-certified inspector conducted 392 school bus safety inspections during July 2014 and May 2016, and did not conduct school bus safety inspection since May 12, 2016.

Management's Response: Management concurs. Certification status is now monitored daily by a Facility Management Support Technician.

3. 5% of Sample Safety Inspections Performed Beyond the Maximum 30-School-Day Interval Requirement

Florida Administrative Code (FAC) 6A-3.0171(8)(c) Responsibilities of School Boards for Student Transportation, requires, "Inspection of buses shall be scheduled and performed at a maximum interval of thirty (30) school days".

Transportation manages all District vehicles through the fleet maintenance management software, AssetWorks System. We reviewed 240 random samples of safety inspection records for 120 buses during Fiscal Year 2016. Based on the review of AssetWorks database and *School Bus Safety Inspection Forms*, we noted that 12 (5%) of the 240 sample inspections were performed beyond the maximum 30-school-day interval requirement, with delays ranging from one to three school days.

Management's Response: Management concurs. Transportation has put new procedures in place which schedules inspections within the 30-day window, to insure 100% compliance going forward.

This page intentionally left blank.



THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL 3318 FOREST HILL BLVD., C-306 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33406

(561) 434-7335 FAX: (561) 434-8652 www.palmbeachschools.org LUNG CHIU, CIG, CPA INSPECTOR GENERAL SCHOOL BOARD CHUCK SHAW, CHAIRMAN DEBRA L. ROBINSON, M.D., VICE CHAIRWOMAN MARCIA ANDREWS FRANK A. BARBIERI, JR., ESQ. KAREN M. BRILL BARBARA MCQUINN ERICA WHITFIELD

ROBERT M. AVOSSA, Ed.D., SUPERINTENDENT

MEMORANDUM

- TO: Honorable Chair and Members of the School Board Robert M. Avossa, Ed.D., Superintendent of Schools Chair and Members of the Audit Committee
- FROM: Lung Chiu, CPA, Inspector General

DATE: May 19, 2017

SUBJECT: Audit of School Bus Safety Inspections

PURPOSE AND AUTHORITY

Pursuant to the *Office of Inspector General's (OIG) 2015-16 Work Plan*, we have audited the School Bus Safety Inspections during Fiscal Year 2016. The primary objectives of this audit were to determine (1) if Post-Trip Passenger Check (Child Alert) and Video Surveillance Camera (Camera) Systems on school buses were in working conditions, (2) if school bus inspections were conducted by certified inspectors, and (3) if school buses were inspected within the required time interval.

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The audit was performed in accordance with *Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards* promulgated by the Comptroller of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. The audit included:

- Interviewing staff
- Conducting on-site observations of safety devices for sample school buses
- Reviewing relevant rules and regulations, including:
 - Florida Administrative Code (FAC) 6A-3, Transportation
 - Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Manual, 2008 Edition
 - Florida School Bus Specifications (Revised 2013)
 - School Board Policy #2.29, Maintenance and Repair of Automotive Equipment
 - School District's School Bus Drivers and Bus Attendants Handbook
 - Sample School Bus Safety Inspection Records

Draft audit findings were sent to staff for review and comments. Management response is included in the Appendix. We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us by District staff during the audit. The final draft report was presented to the Audit Committee at its May 19, 2017, meeting.

BACKGROUND

<u>Mission of Transportation Services Department</u>. As stated in Transportation Department's website, "Our mission is to deliver students safely, efficiently, and on time to their destinations in a frame of mind ready to learn." According to the School District's Facts at a Glance Brochure (revised 9-1-2016)⁽¹⁾, the School District transports 60,000 students daily, covering approximately 13 million miles per year. As of August 9, 2016, the District had 804 school buses assigned to six bus compounds in the county:

Bus Compound	<u># of Buses</u>
North	157
South	185
East	114
Royal Palm	103
Central	186
West	59
Total	804
Sources Transportation	

Source: Transportation

<u>Mandatory School Bus Safety Inspection</u>. To ensure the safety for transporting students, all school buses are required to complete a safety inspection by certified inspectors, at a maximum interval of 30 school days, in accordance with *Florida Administrative Code (FAC) 6A-3.0171* and the *State of Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Manual, 2008 Edition*. Deficiencies identified during the safety inspection shall be documented on the *School Bus Safety Inspection Form*, and follow-up repairs of all safety related items be made and documented before the bus is returned to service.

⁽¹⁾ The brochure is available at <u>https://www.palmbeachschools.org/communications/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2016/04/District-Facts-Brochure.pdf.</u>

CONCLUSIONS

The audit produced the following major conclusions.

1. Child Alert and Camera Systems

To determine if the Post-Trip Passenger Check System (Child Alert) and Video Surveillance Camera System (Camera) on school buses were functioning as intended, OIG conducted two on-site observations of the devices in a total of 150 sample buses during the audit.

<u>Child Alert System</u>. The Child Alert System is required for all buses manufactured since 2005. Specifically, *Inspection Procedures A.16.d.* of the Florida Department of Education's (DOE) *School Bus Safety Inspection Manual* states "*Check for proper operation of post-trip passenger check system (required on buses manufactured since 2005)*", and repair the system if it "does not operate according to manufacturer's specifications, or is not working".

The requirements for the Child Alert System contained in the DOE's *Florida School Bus Specifications*, states that "*The bus must be equipped with a system to require the driver to walk to the rearmost interior of the bus after each trip to deactivate the system via a push button and to ensure that no passengers are left on the bus.*"

<u>Camera System</u>. Each school bus is equipped with a Camera System. The Camera starts automatically in approximately 20 seconds after the bus ignition is turned on; and the LED amber light on the Camera's panic button will flash, indicating that the system is recording. The bus driver is required to check the panic button of the Camera before and after every trip to ensure the Camera System is in working condition.

I. OIG's May 2016 On-Site Observations

During May 11 through 24, 2016, OIG conducted on-site observations of the Child Alert and Camera Systems on 89 sample school buses at the District's six bus compounds.

Results

<u>65% of Child Alert and 7% of Cameras Not Working</u>. Our observations revealed that the Child Alert devices were not working for 58 (65%) of the sample buses; and the Cameras were not working for six (7%) of the sample buses.

<u>35% of Child Alert Devices Manually Disconnected/Disabled</u>. Furthermore, our observations noted that the Child Alert System was manually disconnected/disabled by someone on 31 of the 58 sample buses with a non-working Child Alert (please see Exhibit 1 on page 11 for pictures with Child Alert wiring manually disconnected).</u>

Table 1Summary of OIG Observation ResultsObservations During May 11 through 24, 2016

		# of S	# of Sample Buses With Non-Working Systems								
Bus	No. of Sample	Non-Working	Non-	Working Child Ale	rt System						
Compound	Buses Inspected	Camera	System Failure	Manually Disconnected	Total Non-Working						
North	15	1	3	6	9						
South	14	1	2	7	9						
East	15	1	8	0	8						
Royal Palm	15	1	2	8	10						
Central	15	2	4	8	12						
West	15	0	8	2	10						
Total	89 (100%)	6 (7%)	27 (30%)	31 (35%)	58 (65%)						

Source: OIG On-Site Observations May 11-24, 2016

<u>OIG Observation Results Provided to Staff for Immediate Corrective Actions</u>. To ensure the safety for all students and employees, on June 9, 2016, OIG provided all observation results and preliminary conclusions to the Chief Operating Officer and Director of Transportation for immediate corrective actions.

<u>Corrective Actions Reported by Transportation</u>. On August 9, 2016, Transportation informed the OIG that only 18 (2%) and nine (1%) buses had non-working Child Alert and Camera respectively (Table 2), and those buses with non-working safety devices were not put in service transporting students, until after the devices have been repaired.

Table 2Status of Bus Safety Devices as of August 9, 2016Reported by Transportation

		Buse	s Av	ailabl	e Rep	ort	
Total	North	South	East	Royal	Centrel		NOTES
Total Buses	157	185	114	103	186	59	804
Child Alert	151	184	114	94	184	55	782 (97%)
A/C	143	185	114	99	182	54	777 (97%)
GPS	151	185	111	103	183	59	792 (99%)
Camera	153	185	114	96	181	59	788 (98%)
Buses Needed Repairs	2	1	7	8	8	6	32 (3%)
Total Available	151	184	107	95	170	53	760 (94.5 %)
Total Routes	111	166	85	91	151	43	647
Surplus	46	19	29	12	35	16	157 (19.5%)
Not Working	North	South	East	Palm	Central	West	-
Child Alert	2	1	0	9	2	4	18 (2%)
A/C	10	0	0	4	5	5	24 (3%)
GPS	2	0	3	0	1	0	6 (.07%)
Camera	0	0	0	7	2	0	9 (1%)

Source: Transportation Services Department

II. OIG's Follow-Up On-Site Observations in September 2016

Subsequent to Transportation's August 9, 2016, *Corrective Action Report*, on September 7 and 8, 2016, OIG performed a follow-up on-site observations of the safety devices for another 61 sample buses at all six bus compounds, in order to ascertain the status of the reported corrective actions. These 61 sample buses were randomly selected from those buses that had been transporting students during those two days.

Results

The follow-up observations of the 61 sample buses found that the non-working Child Alert equipment has reduced significantly from 65% down to 21% of the sample buses. However, the follow-up observations found that:

- (a) Eleven (18%) of the sample buses had non-working Child Alert: 10 with system failure, and one manually disconnected.
- (b) Two (3%) of the sample buses had non-working Camera.
- (c) Two (3%) of the sample buses had both non-working Child Alert and Camera.

			Number of Buses With Non-Working Devices								
			Child Alert Sy	Non-	Both						
	No. of			Total Non-	Working	Camera &					
Bus	Sample	System	Manually	Working Child	Camera	Child Alert					
Compound	Buses	Failure	Disconnected	Alert (only)	(only)	Non-Working					
North	10	1	-	1	-	2					
South	10	_	_	_	1	-					
East	10	_	_	_	_	_					
Royal Palm	11	4	_	4	_	-					
Central	10	2	_	2	_	_					
West	10	3	1	4	1	_					
Total	61 (100%)	10 (16%)	1 (2%)	11 (18%)	2 (3%)	2 (3%)					

Table 3Summary of OIG Follow-up Observation ResultsSeptember 7 and 8, 2016

Source: OIG's Follow-up On-Site Observations on September 7 and 8, 2016

Buses With Non-Working Child Alert and Camera Referred to Transportation for Immediate Corrective Actions. To ensure safety for all students and employees, the list of vehicles identified with non-working Child Alert and Camera was provided to the Chief Operating Officer and Director of Transportation on September 12, 2016, for immediate corrective actions. <u>Mandatory Monthly Safety Inspections Not Effective in Identifying Defective Devices</u>. Both Child Alert and Camera Systems are to be part of the required monthly⁽²⁾ safety inspections by certified inspectors. Our September 7 and 8, 2016, follow-up on-site observations found 15 (25%) of the 61 sample buses had non-working Child Alert or Camera. The latest Mandatory Monthly Safety Inspections for the 15 affected buses were completed by Transportation during July 6 and September 7, 2016. However, our review of the *Mandatory Safety Inspection Forms* found that only two buses (#4015 and #6004) were identified having non-working Child Alert; all the other sample buses were not identified with non-working devices.

Inconsistent Information Provided by Transportation

<u>Non-Working Child Safety Equipment</u>. As reported by Transportation during the audit, only 18 (2%) and nine (1%) buses had non-working Child Alert and Camera respectively as of August 9, 2016. However, OIG's September 7 and 8, 2016, follow-up on-site observations of 61 sample buses found 13 (21%) buses had non-working Child Alert and four (7%) buses with non-working Camera.

Daily Pre-Trip and Post-Trip Inspection Reports. Pursuant to *Florida Board of Education Rule 6A-3.0171, FAC*, and *Florida Statute Section 316.6135*, all bus drivers are required "to inspect the bus at least daily prior to the beginning of the first daily trip or more often as required by the school district" and "to perform a complete interior inspection of each bus after each run and trip to ensure no students are left on board."

District's procedures require bus drivers to perform daily Pre- and Post-Trip Inspections and document the inspection results on the *Pre/Post-trip Inspection Report* (please see Exhibit 2 on page 12). Our September 7 and 8, 2016, follow-up on-site observations found 13 (21%) of the 61 sample buses had non-working Child Alert. On September 21, 2016, Transportation provided OIG with the September 7, 2016, *Pre/Post-trip Inspection Reports* for 12 of the 13 buses. All 12 *Inspection Reports* did not indicate that there were problems with the Child Alert, which were contrary to the OIG follow-up observation results.

Recommendations

To protect the safety and welfare of students and District employees, OIG recommends that:

(A) Mandatory Monthly Safety Inspections be Conducted by Certified Inspectors

- Mandatory monthly safety inspections should be conducted by certified inspectors.
- Supervisory staff should conduct routine random checking of buses to ensure inspections are properly completed and all non-compliances are properly corrected in a timely manner.

⁽²⁾ Monthly means "at a maximum interval of thirty (30) school days", Rule 6A-3.0171, FAC, Subsection (8)(c).

(B) Safety Devices (Child Alert and Camera Systems)

- Transportation should ensure all Child Alert and Camera Systems are in proper operational conditions when the buses are transporting students.
- Transportation should establish a procedure to ensure proper compliance by bus drivers that no student is left on a bus after each trip.
- Probable disciplinary measures should be considered for employees who disarmed Child Alert and/or Camera Systems.

(C) Daily Pre/Post Trip Inspections by Bus Drivers

- Transportation should enforce the requirements on Form PBSD 0454 (Rev. 7/20/2016)

 Bus Driver and Attendance Pre/Post-trip Inspection Report, which has specific spaces to indicate defects with the Child Alert (box#30) and/or the Camera (box#20). The Pre/Post-trip Inspection Reports must be accurately completed by bus driver before and after each trip.
- Transportation coordinators/supervisors should review and monitor the daily *Bus Driver and Attendance Pre/Post-trip Inspection Report (PBSD 0454)* to ensure full compliance with safety requirements and that all defects found are noted by drivers and are repaired accordingly before putting the buses back to service.

Management's Response: Management concurs. The following actions have been taken to correct the issues cited.

(A) Mandatory Monthly Safety Inspections be Conducted by Certified Inspectors

Certification status is now monitored daily by a Facility Management Support Technician.

(B) Safety Devices (Child Alert and Camera Systems)

Child Alert Systems have now been placed in an internal compartment so that connectors are no longer exposed. Bus Drivers and Attendants found to be disarming the Child Alert and/or Camera Systems will be issued a memorandum. A random bus selection process will be implemented for Sr. Coordinators to check (10) buses a day for any child alert/camera issues. This process will be communicated to the Sr. Coordinators once finalized by the end of the 2016/17 school year.

(C) Daily Pre/Post Trip Inspections by Bus Drivers

Pre/Post trip forms are now reviewed daily by the supervisors at each facility for compliance. Bus Drivers and Attendants found to not be filling out the forms will be issued a memorandum. The importance and the consequences of not completing the forms will be emphasized at the in-service days. A process will be implemented by the end of the 2016/17 school year for the Foreperson to check buses daily for any child alert/camera issues before a bus is returned into service.

(Please see page 15.)

2. 392 School Bus Safety Inspections at West Compound During July 2014 through May 2016 Conducted by an Inspector With Expired Certification

Florida Administrative Code (FAC) 6A-3.0171 requires that school bus safety inspection be conducted by technicians certified as school bus inspectors in accordance with the *Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Manual*. Specifically, *FAC 6A-3.0171 (8)(d)*, states,

"School bus inspections shall be conducted by technicians certified as school bus inspectors in accordance with the State of Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Manual, 2008 Edition. The requirement that inspections be performed by a certified school bus inspector may be waived for a period not to exceed six (6) months when an emergency condition exists, upon written notification to the Commissioner by the district superintendent."

The *Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Manual*, requires that all school bus safety inspection be documented on the *School Bus Safety Inspection Form* (please see Exhibit 3 on page 13), which is a checklist that includes all the safety items to be inspected monthly. The *Inspection Manual* states, "*The "Inspector's Signature" must be completed on each inspection form*", and "*The inspection form must be initialed by the service manager or his/her delegate.*"

We reviewed 140 *Safety Inspection Forms* for 70 sample buses during Fiscal Year 2016, and noted:

- 24 sample inspections were conducted by an inspector with expired certification
- 7 Safety Inspection Forms were not signed/initialed by the supervisor.

		Sample Safe	Sample Safety Inspection Forms During Fiscal Year 2016							
Bus Compound	# of Sample Buses	Total # of Sample Inspections Reviewed	# of Inspections Not Signed / Initialed by Supervisor	# of Inspections Completed by the Inspector With Expired Certification						
Central	10	20 (100%)	2 (10%)							
East	10	20 (100%)	1 (5%)	_						
North	10	20 (100%)	2 (10%)	_						
Royal Palm	10	20 (100%)	1 (5%)	—						
South	10	20 (100%)	—	_						
West	20 ^(Note)	40 (100%)	1 (3%)	24 (60%)						
Total	70	140 (100%)	7 (5%)	24 (17%)						

Table 4Sample Mandatory Safety InspectionsCompleted by an Inspector With Expired Certification

Note: Our review of the initial 20 safety inspections for 10 sample buses revealed that some inspections were completed by a non-certified inspector. We expanded the sample size by 20 inspections for another 10 buses at the West Compound.

According to Transportation, this inspector's certification expired in June 2014. OIG contacted the Florida Department of Education (FDOE) to verify the certification status of the concerned employee. According to FDOE, "*His certificate expired on June 30, 2014, when he was reassigned.*"

According to Transportation, this non-certified inspector conducted 392 school bus safety inspections during July 2014 through May 2016 while he was not certified, and did not conduct school bus safety inspection since May 12, 2016.

As indicated by the concerned employee in his May 27, 2016, written statement,

"I have occasionally been directed to inspect buses, after which (my supervisor) reviewed the work and initialed the documents after his completion of the work due to our need to keep buses on the road and to promote safety."

The related employee's supervisor indicated in his May 26, 2016, written statement that,

"Due to an occasional shortage of mechanical support as needed as well as the current workload, I permitted [the concerned staff] to bring buses into the shop, to check them out due to his mechanical knowledge and perform minimal repairs, given the urgent need. [He] was permitted to check several buses, conduct routine oil changes as well as minor reparations and I in return inspected ALL work for safety purposes without exception prior to vehicles being dispatched or leaving the compound or being placed back into service. I, in return placed my certification ID inspection # on the work order and submitted them to my immediate Supervisor."

Recommendation

To ensure the safety and welfare of students, District employees, and the general public, Transportation should comply with *FAC 6A-3.0171(8)* and *Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Manual*, that

- All school bus safety inspection must be conducted by a certified school bus inspector.
- School bus safety inspections should be documented on the *Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Form,* signed by the certified inspector and reviewed and approved by the service manager or responsible designee.

Management's Response: Management concurs. As of May 13th, 2016, all mechanics on staff are certified. Certification status is now monitored daily by a Facility Management Support Technician. Procedures are now in place to ensure the Florida School Bus Safety Inspection Form is signed by a certified inspector and reviewed and approved by the service manager or designee.

One additional staff member, a Facility Management Support Technician, now monitors the Mandatory Safety Inspections (MSI) daily. Today 100% of our Mechanics are State certified to conduct Mandatory Safety Inspections (MSI) on our school buses.

(Please see page 16.)

3. 5% of Sample Safety Inspections Performed Beyond the Maximum 30-School-Day Interval Requirement

Florida Administrative Code (FAC) 6A-3.0171(8)(c) Responsibilities of School Boards for Student Transportation, requires,

"Inspection of buses shall be scheduled and performed at a maximum interval of thirty (30) school days"

Transportation manages all District vehicles through the fleet maintenance management software, AssetWorks System. We reviewed 240 random samples of safety inspection records for 120 buses during Fiscal Year 2016. These samples included (a) 120 buses which were comprised of 20 buses from each of the six District's bus compounds, and (b) two safety inspections for each of the 120 sample buses. Based on the AssetWorks database and *School Bus Safety Inspection Forms*, we compared the date for each inspections revealed that 12 (5%) of them were performed beyond the maximum 30-school-day interval requirement, with delays ranging from one to three school days.

Table 5
Sample Mandatory Safety Inspections
Performed Beyond the Maximum 30-Day Interval Requirement
During Fiscal Year 2016

Location	# of Sample Buses	# of Sample Inspections Reviewed	# of Inspections Beyond the 30-Day Interval Requirement
Central	20	40 (100%)	8 (20%)
East	20	40 (100%)	_
North	20	40 (100%)	_
Royal Palm	20	40 (100%)	4 (10%)
South	20	40 (100%)	_
West	20	40 (100%)	_
Total	120	240 (100%)	12 (5%)

Source: Transportation Services' AssetWorks System and School Bus Safety Inspection Forms.

Recommendation

To protect the safety and welfare of students, District employees, and the general public, Transportation should ensure all school bus safety inspections are completed within the maximum 30-day interval as required by *Florida Administrative Code (FAC)* 6A-3.0171(8)(c).

Management's Response: Management concurs. Transportation has put new procedures in place which schedules inspections within the 30-day windows, to insure 100% compliance going forward. (Please see page 16.)

- End of Report -

Exhibit 1 Sample Child Alert System with Manually Disconnected Wiring



Child Alert with Disconnected Wiring



Child Alert with Disconnected Wiring



Corrective Action: Reconnected Wiring with a Zip-Tie

Exhibit 2 Bus Driver and Attendant Pre/Post-trip Inspection Report

Vehicle #	Odometer/Beginning #	Odomet	er/Ending #	Time/Pre	e-trip	Time/Post-trip	8	Date
			- STAPAL22 - SP		0.070			
CHECK PR 1. Brake	E TRIP DEFECTS 11. Windows; W	indshield	21. Air Leak	9	31. Air (Conditioning	-	CPOST-TRIP
2. Lights	12. Emergency I		22. Fuel Od					Searched for children/
3. Horn	13. Emergency I		23. Exhaust		32.Bet	Cutter(s)		ost items
4. Wiper	<u> </u>	100 M A.	24. Muffler:	Tail Pipe	□ 33. Sea		3.0	Displayed empty sign
5. Gauge	is 15 Steering		25. Student	Mirror		iver Studt		Swept floor; cleaned bu is needed
6. Heater	rs 🗌 16. Tire condition	2	26 Exterior	Mirrors	34. Car	Seats		Emptied trash can
7. Defros	ters 🔲 17. Rims; Seals;	Lug nuts	27. Body De	ents	35. Safe	ety Vests		Closed all windows.
8. Seats	18. Fluid Leeks		28. Stop Sig	ins		selchair Occupant curity System		hatches and doors Exterior has no leaks
9. Engin	19. Transmissio	1	29. Crossing	a Arm	_	sel Chair Lift		or new damage
10. GPS	20. Camera Sys	tern	30. Child Ak	ert	Courses.			Checked tire condition; ug nuts
SHOP REP	ORT		REMARKS					97.1975 9976
Bus in servi	ce 🗌 Yes 🗌 No		in constantion of the second					
Defect Corr	ected 🗌 Yes 🗌 No							
Signature of	Mechanic L	Date	Post-trip	complete	d			
	ev. 7/20/2016)		(Required	4}	Signali	ure of Driver		Date
		THE SCH	OOL DISTRIC	T OF PA	LM BEACH	I COUNTY		
Vahiela #	Bus Driver	and A	ttendant	Pre/Po	ost-trip I	nspection		1
Vehicle Ø		and A			ost-trip I			t Date
CHECK PR	Bus Driver	odomet	ttendant er/Ending #	Time/Pro	e-trip	Time/Post-trip		1
CHECK PR	Bus Driver	odomet	ttendant l er/Ending #	Pre/Pc	e-trip	Inspection Time/Post-trip		Date CPOST-TRIP
CHECK PR	Cdometer/Beginning # E TRIP DEFECTS In 11. Windows; W	or and A Odomet	ttendant er/Ending #	Pre/Pc Time/Pn	e-trip	Conditioning ont Back		Date POST-TRIP
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom	Cdometer/Beginning # E TRIP DEFECTS s 11. Windows; W 12. Emergency 13. Emergency	indshield Door Equipment	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust	Time/Pro	all Air (Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s)	CHECH 1./	Date CPOST-TRIP Nil electrical systems of Searched for children/
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper	Bus Driver	indshield Door Equipment	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler;	Time/Pro	31. Air (32. Beit 33. Seet	Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s)	CHECH 1./ 2. 3. 4.	Date POST-TRIP NII electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Swept floor; cleaned bu
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge	Bus Driver	indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student	Time/Pro	31. Air (32. Beit 33. Seet	Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits iver Studt.	CHECH 1./ 2. 3. 4.	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost itoms Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate	Bus Driver	indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhausi 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior	Pre/Pc Time/Pre s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirror	31. Air (32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car	Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits iver Studt.	CHECH 1./ 2: 3.1 4.2 5.1	Date POST-TRIP Nil electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Swept floor; cleaned bu is needed Emptied trash can
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defroe	Bus Driver	indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De	Pre/Pc Time/Pn s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents	31. Air (31. Air (Fro 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Sefe 36. Who	Time/Post-trip	CHECH	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost itoms Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed Emptied trash can Closed all windows, hatches and doors
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defro	Bus Driver	indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer n Lug nuts	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De 28. Stop Sig	Pre/Pc Time/Pri s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents gns	St-trip 31. Air (1 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Safe 36. Who	Inspection Time/Post-trip Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits tver Stuck. Seats ety Vests setchair Occupant curty System	CHECH	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost itoms Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed Emptied trash can Closed all windows.
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defroe	Bus Driver	and A Odomet indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer n Lug nuts	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De	Pre/Pc Time/Pn s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents pis g Arm	St-trip 31. Air (1 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Safe 36. Who	Time/Post-trip	CHECH 1./ 2: 3.1 4.2 5.1 6.4 7.1 8.4	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed Emptied trash can Closed all windows, hatches and doors Exterior has no leaks or new damage Checked fire condition;
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defror 8. Seats 9. Engine 10. GPS	Bus Driver	and A Odomet indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer n Lug nuts	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De 28. Stop Sig 29. Crossin 30. Child Al	Pre/Pc Time/Pn s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents pis g Arm	St-trip 31. Air (1 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Safe 36. Who	Inspection Time/Post-trip Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits tver Stuck. Seats ety Vests setchair Occupant curty System	CHECH 1./ 2: 3.1 4.2 5.1 6.4 7.1 8.4	Date POST-TRIP Nil electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Displayed empty sign Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bus as needed Emptied trash.can Closed all windows, hatches and doors Exterior has no leaks or new damage
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Horn 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defror 8. Seats 9. Engine	Bus Driver	and A Odomet indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer n Lug nuts	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De 28. Stop Sig 29. Crossing	Pre/Pc Time/Pn s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents pis g Arm	St-trip 31. Air (1 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Safe 36. Who	Inspection Time/Post-trip Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits tver Stuck. Seats ety Vests setchair Occupant curty System	CHECH 1./ 2: 3.1 4.2 5.1 6.4 7.1 8.4	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed Emptied trash can Closed all windows, hatches and doors Exterior has no leaks or new damage Checked fire condition;
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defroe 8. Seats 9. Engine 10. GPS SHOP REP	Bus Driver	and A Odomet indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer n Lug nuts	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De 28. Stop Sig 29. Crossin 30. Child Al	Pre/Pc Time/Pn s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents pis g Arm	St-trip 31. Air (1 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Safe 36. Who	Inspection Time/Post-trip Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits tver Stuck. Seats ety Vests setchair Occupant curty System	CHECH 1./ 2: 3.1 4.2 5.1 6.4 7.1 8.4	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed Emptied trash can Closed all windows, hatches and doors Exterior has no leaks or new damage Checked fire condition;
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defror 8. Seats 9. Engin 10. GPS SHOP REP Bus in serv	Bus Driver	and A Odomet indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer n Lug nuts	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De 28. Stop Sig 29. Crossin 30. Child Al	Pre/Pc Time/Pn s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents pis g Arm	St-trip 31. Air (1 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Safe 36. Who	Inspection Time/Post-trip Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits tver Stuck. Seats ety Vests setchair Occupant curty System	CHECH 1./ 2: 3.1 4.2 5.1 6.4 7.1 8.4	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed Emptied trash can Closed all windows, hatches and doors Exterior has no leaks or new damage Checked fire condition;
CHECK PR 1. Brake 2. Lights 3. Hom 4. Wiper 5. Gauge 6. Heate 7. Defror 8. Seats 9. Engin 10. GPS SHOP REP Bus in serv	Bus Driver	and A Odomet indshield Door Equipment Exit Buzzer n Lug nuts	ttendant er/Ending # 21. Air Leak 22. Fuel Od 23. Exhaust 24. Muffler; 25. Student 26. Exterior 27. Body De 28. Stop Sig 29. Crossin 30. Child Al	Pre/Pc Time/Pn s or Fumes Tail Pipe Mirror Mirrors ents ga Arm ert	31. Air (31. Air (Fri 32. Beit 33. Sea Dri 34. Car 35. Safe 36. Whe 37. Whe	Inspection Time/Post-trip Conditioning ont Back Cutter(s) t Beits tver Stuck. Seats ety Vests setchair Occupant curty System	CHECH 1./ 2: 3.1 4.2 5.1 6.4 7.1 8.4	Date POST-TRIP All electrical systems of Searched for children/ ost items Displayed empty sign Swept floor, cleaned bu as needed Emptied trash can Closed all windows, hatches and doors Exterior has no leaks or new damage Checked fire condition;

Exhibit 3 School Bus Safety Inspection Form (Page 1 of 2)

Г

٦

T BEACH CON	in the		SCHOOL	BUS SAFETY INS	PECTION	FORM	
tus Code		Bus #:	Mileage:	Hours:	WO#: CEN	Date:/_	/
= Item = Need	OK Is Repair	Chassis/Body:	1	Сар	acity:	Model Year:	
(or as i = Out o = Not A	noted) f Service applicable	Shop Location:				Next Inspection Due:	
tatus		9	INSPECTION ITE	MS		COMMENTS	Tech.
Code	A. INS	SIDE BUS (REQUIR				(Note Specific Deficiencies)	Init.
	1. Eme	rgency Equipment - I	Fire Ext. (pressure,	tag, mount). First Aid Kit,			
		Fluid Cleanup Kit and stration and Insurand					
į.		ral Safety Switch, Sh		tement Switch			- 11
120			March Meson Charles I. C. Presso	rator, and Engine Shutdown.			-
	5. Gaug	jes, Indicators & Das		Arning Lights, Buzzers and			
	6. Air E	Warning Light . B rake System – Gaug stment, Air Leaks, Low					
	7. Hydr	aulic Brake System - Power Assist, and Pa	Warning Light, Ga				
		lshield Wipers & Was					
	9. Heat	ers, Defrosters, and E	xternal Dash Fan(s).			
	22703824022-	ne and Step Well Lig					-
	2. Отбалара колто	rice Door – Operation,	Control and Overh	ead Pad.			
0	12. Hori						
		or Adjustment, Cond		onvex, and Interior.			-
8	2646125607	er's Seat and Seat Be		Cuts, Bottoms, Modesty			
	Pan	els, Stanchions, Passe	nger Securement D	evices, and Webbing Cutter.			
	& O)	verhead Pad, and Pas	senger Check Syste				
2	and states		a a a an a	, Fogging, Latches, and Visor.			
		elchair Lift, Door, an			- N		
0	y Naca nace na la active e		125	A. Systems – (if equipped).			
		rior Wiring, Cab Hose gral Condition, Pug k		20-02-3			
		eral Condition, Bus I eling, Broom Mounting		cured, and Engine Cover.			
	B. OU	tside bus (requ	RED)				
	Light	ts, Backup Alarm & D	ash Sticker (if equi	er, Brake, Tail, Backup pped) and Park Lights.			
	recessores	rance & ID Lights, Re					
	1993,000,000,000,000 1993,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,	I Warning Lights – (s					
		(<u>ANS)</u>	2705	iring, Air or Vacuum Leak and	Decal		
				umpers, Body Damage, Paint, , Engine Hood, & Cleanliness.			- 21
	C. EN	GINE COMPARTME	NT (REQUIRED)				
	Drag	r ing – Play, Column, S Link, Steering Arm, Ti	e Rod & Ends and I	dler Arm.			
120				anliness, Slide Tray, & Load To	est.		
				teering, Oil, Transmission, % & Antifreeze°F			
	4. Belts	& Hoses – Tightness	, Condition, Routing), and Belt Alignment.			
	P.S.		& Filter, Water Pur	ner (Restriction"H2O), np, Fan and Alternator.			
<u> </u>	6 Wirit	ng – Routing and Cond	lition				

Exhibit 2	
School Bus Safety Inspection Form ()	Page 2 of 2)

Status Code	INSPECTION ITEMS	COMMENTS (Note Specific Deficiencies)	Tech. Init.
	7. Fuel System and Lines		
	8. Radiator - Mounting, Cap, Reservoir, and Fan Shroud.		
	D. UNDERNEATH BUS (REQUIRED)		
	 Front Suspension – Wheel Bearings, I-Beam (King Pins, Shackles, Spring Mounts, Pins & Bushings), A-Frames and Bushings (Ball Joints), U-Bolts, Shocks, Springs and Seals. 		
	 Front Brakes – Hoses, lines, Chambers, Slack Adjusters, Pushrods, Linings, Drums, Rotors, Wheel Cylinders or Calipers. Check and Adjust MSA Equipped Brakes. Do Not Adjust Automatic Slack Adjuster (ASA) Equipped Brakes 		
	3. Engine/Transmission Mounts, Starter Mounting.		
	4. Transmission – Bots, Linkage, Lines, Filter and Cooler, & Clutch (If equipped).		
_	5. Fluid Leaks - Oil, Coolant, Transmission, Power Steering, etc.		
	6. Fuel Tank - Leaks, Mounting, Hoses, and Wiring.		
	7. Brake Equipment – ABS, Lines, Valves, Reservoir Mounting, and Bleed Reservoirs.		
	 Driveline – Shafts, U-Joints, Yokes, Hanger Bearings, Guards, and Driveshaft Park Brake. 		
	 Rear Suspension – Ade Housing, Vent, Differential, Springs, U-Bolts, Shocks, Spring Shackles, Pins and Bushings, Hangers, Seals, and Wheel Bearings. 		
	 Rear Brakes – Hoses, Lines, Chambers, Slack Adjusters, Pushrods, Linings, Drums, Rotors, Wheel Cylinders or Calipers. Check and Adjustment MSA Equipped Brakes. Do not adjust Auto Stack Adjuster (ASA) Equipped Brakes 		
	 Body Securement & Structure – Hold Downs, Floor, Outriggers, Braces, Skirts, and Chassis Frame Rails. 		
	12. Exhaust Systems – Leaks, Mounting, Muffler, and Tailpipe.		
	 Wheels and Tires – Tread Depth, Pressure, Damage, Matching, Alignment, and Wheel Hardware. 		
	E. LUBRICATION & MAINTENANCE (OPTIONAL)	ar <u>w</u> uyun serin di <u>w</u> a	-
	1. Change Oil and Replace Oil Filter(s) quarts		
	2. Replace Fuel Filter(s) Primary/Secondary and Drain Separator		
	3. Replace Transmission Filter(s) quarts		
	4. Replace Air Compressor Filter (if applicable)		
	5. Replace Power Steering Filter pints		
	6. Replace Engine Ar Cleaner Filter		
	7. Replace Coolant and Filter (if applicable)		
	8. Test Starting and Charging System Amps Volts		
	9. Lubricate Chassis and Body - as needed Ibs		
	 Air Conditioning - Perform A/C system preventive maintenance (if equipped) according to manufacturer/district procedure(s) 		1
	F. ROAD TEST (REQUIRED)	and the second second	
	1. Brake Performance - Park Brake, Stopping Distance and Equalization.		
	2. Engine, Transmission, Driveline – Engine Performance Governor, & Shifting.		
	3. Steering & Handling – Free Play, Power Assist, Turning Radius, Column, Tracking.		
Comn	The second secon	Anna Anna	Pressur
	RF/32	RRO/32	

2	<u></u> r	RRI _	/32
12	<u>87</u>	LRI _	/32
	LF/32	LRO _	/32
NOTE: If bus is equipped with optional equipment not noted on this t	form, follow the manufacturer's inspection	and maintenance proce	edures for that equipment.
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	INSPECTOR'S CERTIFIC/	ATION #: 50	<u> </u>
SERVICE MANAGER'S OR DELEGATE'S INITIALS	BUS RETURNED TO SER	VICE DATE:	

Management's Response

	THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL	DONALD E. FENNOY, II, ER CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	D. ROBERT M. AVOSSA, SUPERINTENDENT
HEACH COU	3300 Forest Hill Bouleward, B-302 West Palm Beach, FL 33406 Phone: 561-357-7573 / Fax: 561-357-756	, (APR 2 5 2017
MEMORAN	DUM		
TO:	Lung Chiu, Inspector General	- 51	
FROM:	Donald Fennoy, II, Ed.D., Chief Op	erating Officer Det at	-
DATE:	April 13, 2017		
SUBJECT:	Management Response – Audit of	School Bus Safety Inspection	5
	Certification Status is now monitored Safety Devices (Child Alert and Camer		nt Support Technician
	Child Alert Systems have now been pl connectors are no longer exposed. Bu Child Alert and/or Camera Systems wi process will be implemented for Sr. Co alert/camera issues. This process will finalized by the end of the 2016/17 sc	us Drivers and Attendants fou II be issued a memorandum. pordinators to check (10) bus be communicated to the Sr.	nd to be disarming the A random bus selection es a day for any child
	Child Alert Systems have now been pl connectors are no longer exposed. Bu Child Alert and/or Camera Systems wi process will be implemented for Sr. Co alert/camera issues. This process will	us Drivers and Attendants fou II be issued a memorandum. pordinators to check (10) bus be communicated to the Sr. hool year.	nd to be disarming the A random bus selection es a day for any child
(C)	Child Alert Systems have now been pl connectors are no longer exposed. Bu Child Alert and/or Camera Systems wi process will be implemented for Sr. Co alert/camera issues. This process will finalized by the end of the 2016/17 sc	us Drivers and Attendants fou II be issued a memorandum. oordinators to check (10) bus be communicated to the Sr. hool year. Drivers daily by the supervisors at ea nts found to not be filling out I the consequences of not cor	nd to be disarming the A random bus selection es a day for any child Coordinators once the facility for the forms will be issued npleting the forms will be

Management's Response

